arXiv:1208.1787v1 [math.AG] 8 Aug 2012

FIBERED STABLE VARIETIES

ZSOLT PATAKFALVI

ABSTRACT. We show that if a stable variety admits a fibration with stable fibers and base, then this fibration structure deforms (uniquely) for all small deformations. Furthermore, we show the corresponding statement for multiple level fibrations, i.e., for towers of stable varieties. During our proof we obtain results of independent interest: (a) $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef vector bundle for flat families of connected, equidimensional Du Bois varieties (b) a Bogomolov-Sommese type vanishing for vector bundles and reflexive differential $n-1$-forms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Definition of the moduli spaces and forgetful maps 5
3. Deformation theory of $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{M}_h$ 12
4. Negativity of Hodge bundles 25
5. Vanishing 35
6. Proof of the main theorem 41
References 41

1. INTRODUCTION

The moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ of stable varieties (or equivalently of semi-log canonical models) with Hilbert polynomial h is the natural generalization of the widely investigated space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g$ of stable curves of genus g [Kol10], [KSB88], [Kol90]. It parametrizes (possibly reducible) varieties with semi-log canonical singularities and ample canonical bundle. Furthermore, it has an open subspace specializing to $\mathfrak{M}_g$ in dimension one that parameterizes birational equivalence classes of varieties of general type. The construction of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ was made partially possible by the recent advances in minimal model theory [BCHM10], and log-generalizations of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ are still partially under construction.

Having constructed $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$, it is natural to ask what can be said about its global geometry. At this point it has to be noted that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ has a very rich structure, it has many very differently behaving components even after fixing the numerical invariants. So, the known results either concern a subset of all the components or only the smooth part (e.g., [KK10], [VZ03], [Pat12]). The current article aims for results of the first type. Results in this direction were known for surfaces (e.g., [vO06b], [vO06a], [Liu12], [Rol10], [AP09], [Lee00], [HKT09], [Has99], [Hac04], [Laz12]) and for higher dimensional pairs, where the ambient space has Kodaira dimension at most zero (e.g., [HKT06], [Ale02]). According to the best knowledge of the author, results for arbitrary dimensions where the ambient space is of general type were not known before the recent work of [BHPS12]. What makes such results particularly hard is that in these cases the moduli space can be arbitrarily singular [Vak06]. Therefore, first order computations do not yield enough information; obstructions and their connections have to be understood thoroughly.

In [BHPS12] components containing products of stable varieties were described very precisely. It turned out that if a stable variety admits a product structure, then so do all its deformations. Instead of having a product structure, one can look at the weaker condition: having a fibration structure with stable fibers and base. Then the fibration structure does not extend to all deformations as a product structure, because of certain monodromy issues in the limit at infinity [AV02]. However, according to the main result of the paper, the fibration structure does extend to small deformations. Furthermore, similar result holds if a stable variety has not only one fibration but a tower of them.

1.A. ***Moduli theoretic results***

Here we state the main result of the paper and its most important corollaries. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. A *tower of stable varieties* over a base scheme B is a commutative diagram

(1.0.a)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X = X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"'] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "f"] & X_{n-1} \arrow[r, "f_{n-1}"'] & \dots \arrow[r, "f_2"'] & X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"'] & X_0 = B \end{tikzcd},$$

where f_i are families of stable varieties.

Theorem 1.1. *If a stable variety X admits a tower of stable varieties structure as in* (1.0.a) *(with $B = \operatorname{Spec} k$), then this tower structure deforms to all small deformations (after a possible finite base change).*

In fact, Theorem 1.1 is the immediate consequence of the more explicit Theorem 1.2. To state it we need further notations. Fix a dimension vector $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. Let $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$ denote the (pseudo-)functor of towers of stable varieties as in (1.0.a), such that $\dim f_i = m_i$. We will prove that it is a DM-stack locally of finite type over k. Set $m := \sum_i m_i$ and set $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m := \bigcup_{\deg h = m} \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ be the moduli space of all stable varieties of dimension m. Given a tower of stable varieties as in (1.0.a), we prove that the composition $f : X \to B$ is a family of stable varieties, hence one can define a forgetful map $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ forgetting all middle levels of towers. The main theorem of the article is then as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *The forgetful morphism $F : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ is étale.*

Another immediate consequence, or rather a rewording, of Theorem 1.2 is as follows.

Corollary 1.3. *The image of $F : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ is dense in every component it intersects.*

In the special cases, when $\underline{m} = (1, \dots, 1)$, a compactification of $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$ is known by the iterated use of the Abramovich-Vistoli construction of stable maps from stable curves [AV02]. Intuitively it can be thought of as a moduli space of "towers of stable twisted curves", i.e., of towers of stable curves with some extra stack structure at certain nodes and over their fibers. Denote this moduli space[1] by $\mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}}$. One can show now that F extends naturally to a forgetful morphism $\overline{F} : \mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ [Pat10a, Notation 7.2]. Since every component of both $\mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ is proper, and the image of $\overline{F}$ is dense in the relevant components according to Corollary 1.4, we obtain the following corollary. It states that the one parameter degenerations of stable varieties admitting a tower of stable curves structure are coarse moduli spaces of stacks admitting a tower of twisted stable curves structure.

Corollary 1.4. *If $\underline{m} = (1, \dots, 1)$, then the natural forgetful morphism $\overline{F} : \mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ from the Abramovich-Vistoli compactification of $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$ is surjective onto every irreducible component intersected by the image of $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \subseteq \mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}}$.*

Note that the above corollary is crucial for the results of [Pat10a]. Unfortunately Corollary 1.4 does not generalize to higher dimensions, since the corresponding generalization of [AV02] is not known. That is, according to the best knowledge of the author, twisted stable maps from stable varieties, or even from stable surfaces, are not defined. Note that Alexeev defined *non-twisted* stable maps from surfaces in [Ale96], hence it would be interesting to extend that to the stack target case.

QUESTION 1.5. Is there a good definition of twisted stable maps from stable varieties, or at least from stable surfaces?

1.B. *Positivity and vanishing results*

During the proof of Theorem 1.2, we prove several results that are a priori not moduli theoretic and might be interesting in themselves. First, there is the following positivity results, which pertains to any family of stable varieties or even stable pairs.

Theorem 4.1. If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat, projective family of connected, Du Bois schemes of pure dimension n, then $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-1} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle. If furthermore the fibers of f are S_d for some $n \geq d \geq 2$, then $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-i} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle for every $i < d$.

Second, there are the following two vanishing results, the first of which is implied by the second one. Note that Theorem 5.6 is a vector bundle version of a special case of the Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing for reflexive differentials [GKKP11, Theorem 7.2].

Theorem 5.4. If X is a stable variety, and $\mathscr{E}$ a weakly-negative vector bundle on X, then $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) = \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbb{L}_X, \mathscr{E}) = 0$.

Theorem 5.6. If X is a projective variety of dimension n, $D \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that (X, D) is log canonical, $\mathscr{L}$ an anti-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle, $\mathscr{E}$ a weakly-negative vector bundle, then

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log\lfloor D \rfloor)[\otimes]\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}) = 0.$$

It is expected that most results of Section 1.A hold in the log case as well, i.e., when stable varieties are replaced by stable pairs. However, we made the decision to keep the log-free versions since the deformation theory part, i.e., Section 3, would have been considerably longer in the log case. This is partially due to the fact that even the starting point of our deformation theory considerations (i.e., [AH11]) uses the non-log setting. On the other hand, Theorems 4.1 and 5.6 come naturally valid in the log-setting.

---

[1] To be precise, $\mathfrak{AV}_{\underline{m}} = \bigcup_{g_i \geq 2, d_i \geq 1} \mathscr{K}^{\mathrm{bal}}_{g_1,0}(\mathscr{K}^{\mathrm{bal}}_{g_2,0}(\mathscr{K}^{\mathrm{bal}}_{g_3,0} \dots \mathscr{K}^{\mathrm{bal}}_{g_{m-2},0}(\mathscr{K}^{\mathrm{bal}}_{g_{m-1},0}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g_m}, d_m), d_{m-1}) \dots d_3), d_2)$, where we used the notations of [AV02].

1.C. *Idea of the proof and organization*

Consider a tower as in (1.0.a). According to [BHPS12, Propositions 3.9 and 3.10], to equate the (unconstrained) deformation theory of the tower and of the composition $X \to B$, the most important step is to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{X_{i-1}}(\Omega_{X_{i-1}}, R^1(f_i)_* \mathscr{O}_{X_i}) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. One can obtain this from Theorems 4.1 and 5.4. However, there is a subtlety in the deformation theory of stable varieties which makes things considerably harder. The deformation theory of an object in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ is not given by the unconstrained deformation theory of the corresponding stable variety, but the deformation theory of its index-one covering stack [AH11]. This index-one covering stack is a finite, birational cover the canonical bundle of which is a line bundle. Therefore, one has to pass to index- one covers and then apply [BHPS12, Propositions 3.9 and 3.10]. This passage is worked out in Section 3. Section 2 contains the precise definition of the objects of Theorems 1.2. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the proofs of the above mentioned Theorems 4.1 and 5.4, while the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished in Section 6

1.D. *Acknowledgement*

The author is thankful to Karl Schwede, who pointed out in a conversation at the AIM workshop “Relating test ideal and multiplier ideals” that using [Sch07] most vanishing results generalize to Du Bois schemes if one replaces the canonical sheaf with the dualizing complex. This was an extremely important input in the development of Section 4.

1.E. *Notations*

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. All schemes and stacks are noetherian and separated over k. A noetherian scheme X is *relatively* S_d over B, if X_b is S_d for every $b \in B$. In the same situation if X_b is Gorenstein in codimension one for all $b \in B$, then X is *relatively* G_1 over B. The absolute version of these and of all the other following notions is obtained by simply taking $B = \operatorname{Spec} k$. Since depth of a point and being Gorenstein are formal local properties, being S_d or Gorenstein can be defined for DM-stacks by requiring them on étale covers by schemes. Then the above notions do make sense for DM-stacks.

For an arbitrary coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on a scheme X, the *reflexive hull* of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\mathscr{F}^{**}$. *Reflexive power, pullback, tensor product, etc* is defined by taking power, pullback, tensor product, etc and then reflexive hull. E.g., the second reflexive power $\mathscr{F}^{[2]}$ is $(\mathscr{F}^{\otimes 2})^{**}$. Reflexive operations are denoted by putting square brackets around the usual operation signs. E.g., reflexive pullback is denoted by $f^{[*]}$ and reflexive tensor product by $[\otimes]$. Reflexive (log-)differentials are denoted by $\Omega_X^{[i]}(\log D)$ and coherently with the above discussion are $(\Omega_X^i(\log D))^{**}$. Let X be flat and relatively S_2, G_1 over B. The sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle, if it is reflexive, a line bundle in relative codimension one, and $\mathscr{F}^{[m]}$ is a line bundle for some $m \neq 0$. In particular, by [HK04, Proposition 3.6] then $\mathscr{F}^{[im]} \cong (\mathscr{F}^{[m]})^i$. A $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle is nef, relatively ample, etc. if $\mathscr{F}^{[m]}$ is nef for any m such that $\mathscr{F}^{[m]}$ is a line bundle. By the above this discussion, this definition does make sense.

Vector bundle means a locally free sheaf of finite rank. *Line bundle* means a locally free sheaf of rank one. When it does not cause any misunderstanding, pullback is denoted by lower index. E.g., if $\mathscr{F}$ is a sheaf on X, and $X \to Y$ and $Z \to Y$ are morphisms, then $\mathscr{F}_Z$ is the pullback of $\mathscr{F}$ to $X \times_Y Z$. This unfortunately is also a source of some confusion: $\mathscr{F}_y$ can mean both the stalk and the fiber of the sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ at the point y. Since both are frequently used notations in the literature, we opt to use both and hope that it will always be clear from the context which one we mean.

A *representable* morphism of stacks means representable by schemes. A proper DM-stack with a coarse moduli space is projective if and only if so is its coarse moduli space. A $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle or a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor L on a DM-stack $\mathscr{X}$ is (relatively) ample, if the descent of a high enough multiple of L to the coarse moduli space (given that that exits) is (relatively) ample. This is equivalent to saying that for any finite cover Y of $\mathscr{X}$ by a scheme the pullback of L to Y is (relatively) ample. Note that this definition really works in the relative case only if the base is a scheme. If it is a stack, then we pull back our family via an étale cover of the base, and we apply the above definition there. Since taking coarse moduli space commutes with base change [AV02, Lemma 2.3.3], if $\mathscr{X}$ is projective over the base, then L is relatively ample if and only if it is ample over every fiber over ever k-point of the base (this works even if the base is a DM-stack as well) [Laz04, Theorem 1.7.8]. The category $\mathfrak{S}ch_k$ is the category of schemes over k. Square brackets around quotients, e.g., $[P/G]$, means stack quotient.

All derived category computations of the article take place in $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$, the derived category of unbounded complexes with quasi-coherent cohomology sheaves. In our situation this is equivalent to the derived category of complexes of quasi-coherent modules via the natural embedding of the latter into $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$. Furthermore the derived functors behave compatibly with this equivalence [Nee96, page 207]. Also, the usual bounded derived categories are full subcategories of $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$, again with agreeing derived functors. We need to use the unbounded derived category, because the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_X$ of a scheme (or DM-stack) is unbounded (from below). If $\mathscr{C} \in D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$, then $h^i(\mathscr{C})$ is the i-th cohomology sheaf and $H^i(X, \mathscr{C})$ is the i-th hypercohomology of $\mathscr{C}$. If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism, $R^{<i} f_* \mathscr{C}$ and $R^{\leq i} f_* \mathscr{C}$ mean the adequate truncations of $Rf_* \mathscr{C}$. By definition, $\omega_X^\bullet := f^! \omega_Y^\bullet$ and $\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet := f^! \mathscr{O}_Y$.

The abbreviations *lc* and *slc* mean log canonical and semi-log canonical, respectively. If S is a reduced divisor on a (demi-)normal scheme, $0 \leq \Delta$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, and S a reduced divisor with normalization S^n, then $\operatorname{Diff}_S \Delta$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_{S^n} \Delta$ denote the different [Kol12, Different 4.2].

2. DEFINITION OF THE MODULI SPACES AND FORGETFUL MAPS

In this section we define precisely the moduli space $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$, and then after some technical preparation we define the functor $F : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ of Theorem 1.2. Some of these were described already in Section 1, however we include them here again for thorough reference.

2.A. *The moduli spaces*

First, shortly we recall the definition of stable varieties, and define the moduli space $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$.

Definition 2.1. A noetherian scheme is *demi-normal*, if it is S_2 and normal crossing in codimension one [Kol12, Definition 5.1] .

Definition 2.2. Let X be a demi-normal scheme and $\pi : \overline{X} \to X$ its normalization. Then the (reduced) double locus of π on $\overline{X}$ is of pure codimension 1 and is called the *conductor* of X. Denote it by $\overline{D}$. The scheme X is *semi-log canonical* (or shortly *slc*), if K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $(\overline{X}, \overline{D})$ is log canonical [Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10].

Notation 2.3. If X is a demi-normal scheme, then saying that $\pi : (\overline{X}, \overline{D}) \to X$ is the normalization means that $\overline{D}$ is the conductor divisor, on the normalization $\overline{X}$ of X. By the abuse of notation, the (reduced) divisor of the double locus on X, i.e., $(\pi_* \overline{D})_{\mathrm{red}}$, is also called the conductor. We hope this does not lead to confusion.

By Definition 2.2, slc. singularities are higher dimensional generalizations of the one dimensional nodal singularities. Indeed, in one codimension an slc. scheme is nodal, and in higher codimensions some more subtle but still somewhat mild singularities appear. Similarly stable varieties, as defined in Definition 2.4, are immediate higher dimensional generalizations of stable curves. In particular, a one dimensional stable variety is exactly a stable curve.

Definition 2.4. A *stable* variety is an equidimensional, connected, proper, slc. scheme, such that ω_X is ample. The function $h(m) := \chi\left(\omega_X^{[m]}\right)$ is called the *Hilbert function* of X.

Definition 2.5. A family of stable varieties is a flat morphism $f : X \to B$, such that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in B$, X_b is a stable variety and $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ is flat, and for every base change $\tau : B' \to B$ and the induced morphism $\rho : X_{B'} \to X$,

$$\rho^* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \cong \omega_{X_{B'}/B'}^{[m]}. \tag{2.5.a}$$

The condition (2.5.a) is usually refered to as *Kollár's condition*.

Notation 2.6. One may consider then the category of all stable families with fixed Hilbert function h. One can show that this forms a proper DM-stack of finite type over k [BHPS12, Theorem 2.8], and it is denoted by $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ in this article. The category of all stable families of relative dimension m is denoted by $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$. That is, $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m = \bigcup_{\deg h = m} \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$.

Definition 2.7. A *tower of stable varieties with Hilbert function vector* $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ over a base scheme B is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X = X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"'] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "f"] & X_{n-1} \arrow[r, "f_{n-1}"'] & \dots \arrow[r, "f_2"'] & X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"'] & X_0 = B \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.7.a}$$

such that f_i is a family of stable varieties (satisfying Kollár's condition), and $\chi\left(\omega_{(X_i)_y}^{[m]}\right) = h_i(m)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le i \le n$ and $y \in X_{i-1}$. Define the category fibered in groupoids $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{M}_{\underline{h}}$ over $\mathfrak{S}ch_k$ to have such towers as objects over B, and natural Cartesian pullbacks as morphisms. For a vector of integers $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ define also the category of all towers with dimension vector

$\underline{m}$ as follows.

$$\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} := \bigcup_{\underline{h}=(h_1,\ldots,h_n),\deg h_i = m_i} \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{h}}$$

Notation 2.8. Given a tower as in (2.7.a), we use the short notations $\underline{X}$ or (X_i, f_i) for it.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n$ denote the moduli stack of all stable varieties of dimension n, and $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_n$ the universal family over it. Then,*

$$\mathfrak{TM}_{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)} \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}}(\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{m_1}, \mathfrak{TM}_{(m_2,\ldots,m_n)} \times \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}) \tag{2.9.a}$$

and hence by induction on n, it is a DM-stack locally of finite type.

Proof. Define the dimension vectors $\underline{m} := (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ and $\underline{m}' := (m_2, \ldots, m_n)$. There is a forgetful map $\pi : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}$ remembering only X_1 of a tower in (2.7.a). We prove (2.9.a), by showing an isomorphism over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}$, using π as the structure map on the left and the natural projection on the right. So, fix $[X_1 \to B] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}$. Given an element of $\underline{X} \in \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$ over $[X_1 \to B]$, that is, a stable family as in (2.7.a) containing $X_1 \to B$ as the first map, yields a tower of stable varieties over X_1 with dimension vector $\underline{m}'$ by forgetting B. Hence, $\underline{X}$ defines a morphism $\nu_{\underline{X}} : X_1 \to \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'}$. Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'}$ represents the moduli problem of towers with dimension vector $\underline{m}'$, automorphisms of $\underline{X}$ over $[X_1 \to B]$ and automorphisms of $\nu_{\underline{X}}$ also match up. Hence we obtain the following string of isomorphisms of groupoids.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}([X_1 \to B]) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(X_1, \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(X_1, \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'} \times B) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_B((\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{m_1})_B, \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'} \times B) =_{\mathrm{def}} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n}(\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{m_1}, \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'} \times \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n)([X_1 \to B]), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9.b}$$

where

- Hom means the groupoid of functors over the base space
- $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ is the Hom-stack [Ols06] and
- putting $([X_1 \to B])$ after a category means the fiber over $[X_1 \to B]$.

The isomorphisms of (2.9.b) are all natural with respect to Cartesian maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_1 \arrow[d] \\ B' \arrow[r] & B. \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, (4.13.b) really yields an isomorphism as in (2.9.a) over $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}$.

We prove the DM-stack statement by induction on n. For $n = 1$, $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{m_1}$. Hence, it is a DM-stack locally of finite type over k. For $n > 1$, we proceed by induction. By inductional hypothesis $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}'}$ is a DM-stack locally of finite type over k. Hence by (2.9.a), it is enough to show that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ is a DM-stack locally of finite type over k whenever $\mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ are DM-stacks locally of finte type over k and $\mathfrak{X}$ is proper, flat and representable over $\mathfrak{M}$. This is shown in [Ols06, Theorem 1.1], when $\mathfrak{M}$ is an algebraic space. To deduce it for a DM-stack $\mathfrak{M}$, one replaces $\mathfrak{M}$ with one of its étale atlases. This finishes our proof. ☐

2.B. *Adjunction*

Having defined the moduli spaces of Theorem 1.2, the last goal of Section 2 is to define the morphism $F : \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{M}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ of Theorem 1.2. Roughly speaking F forgets the middle level of a tower of stable varieties as in (2.7.a). Hence we need to show that the composite morphism of (2.7.a) is a family of stable varieties, i.e., a the total space of a family of stable varieties over a stable variety is a stable variety as well. In particular this involves showing that the total space of a family of slc varieties over an slc base is slc. The technical tool for this is inversion of adjunction, which relates the singularities of a divisor to the singularities of the total space close to the divisor. Unfortunately, we are not aware of a good reference of inversion of adjunction for reducible total spaces. Hence in this section first we obtain a special case of inversion of adjunction for reducible total spaces, then we prove that a family of slc varieties over an slc variety has slc total space.

For inductional reasons we need to use at certain places slc pairs, not only varieties. Furthermore, we even have to allow non-effective boundaries. The definition is as follows.

Definition 2.10. Let X be a demi-normal scheme and $\pi : (\overline{X}, \overline{D}) \to X$ its normalization. Let Δ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on $\overline{X}$, which avoids the codimension one singular point of $\overline{X}$. In this situation Δ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier in codimension one, and then $\overline{\Delta} := \pi^* \Delta$ is defined as the unique extension of the pullback over the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier locus of Δ. Furthermore, the pair (X, Δ) is defined to be slc, if $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $(\overline{X}, \overline{D} + \overline{\Delta})$ is log canonical (see [Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10], and note that it works for non-effective Δ as well).

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a demi-normal scheme, D its conductor divisor, S a reduced Cartier divisor with normalization $S^n \to S$ and $\Delta \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that no two of D, S and Δ have common components and $K_X + S + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. In this case, $(X, S + \Delta)$ is slc near S if and only if $(S^n, \operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta))$ is lc.*

Proof. Let $\pi : (\overline{X}, \overline{D}) \to X$ be the normalization (i.e., $\overline{D}$ is the conductor) of X, $\overline{S} := \pi^* S$ and $\overline{\Delta} := \pi^* \Delta$. *First, we claim that*

$$(X, S + \Delta) \text{ is slc near } S \Leftrightarrow (\overline{X}, \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}) \text{ is lc near } \overline{S}. \tag{2.11.a}$$

Assume first that there is an open set $S \subseteq U \subseteq X$. Then by [Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10], $(U, S + \Delta|_U)$ is slc if and only if $(\pi^{-1}(U), \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)})$ is lc (note also that in this situation $\overline{S} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(U)$). This shows the forward direction of (2.11.a). The only reason why it does not prove the backwards direction immediately is that if there is an open set $\overline{S} \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{X}$, such that $(V, \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta})$ is lc, V does not have to be of the form $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Furthermore, a priori it could happen that it does not contain any open sets of the form $\tau^{-1}(U)$. However, the Cartier assumption on S prohibits this from happening, since then $\pi^* S$ contains only entire fibers of π. This finishes the proof of (2.11.a).

Let $\overline{S}^n \to \overline{S}$ be the normalization of $\overline{S}$. Then there is a natural morphism $\phi : \overline{S}^n \to S^n$ induced by $\overline{S} \to S$. The map ϕ is quasi-finite, proper and birational over every component of S^n. Hence, it is finite [Gro66, Théorème 8.11.1] and then isomorphism by the universal property of integral closure applied to $\mathscr{O}_{S^n} \subseteq \phi_* \mathscr{O}_{\overline{S}^n}$. In particular, we may identify $\overline{S}^n$ with S^n. We denote both by

S^n from now. The situation is summarized in the following commutative diagram.

(2.11.b)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^n \arrow[r, "\text{normalization}"] \arrow[dr, "\text{normalization}"'] & \overline{S} \arrow[r, hook, "\text{closed embedding}"] \arrow[d, "\text{generic isomorphism}"] & \overline{X} \arrow[d, "\text{normalization}"] \\
 & S \arrow[r, hook, "\text{closed embedding}"'] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [Kol12, (5.7.3)], if we choose K_X and $K_{\overline{X}}$ compatibly,

(2.11.c) $\pi^* K_X = K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{D}$ (here π^* denotes the same as for Δ earlier in the proof), and

$$\pi^*(K_X + S + \Delta) = K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}.$$

However, then

(2.11.d)
$$K_X + S + \Delta|_{S^n} = \pi^*(K_X + S + \Delta)|_{S^n} = K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}|_{S^n},$$

where all restrictions mean the natural restriction as $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. There is a dense smooth open set $U \subseteq X$, over which both $\overline{X}$ and S^n are smooth. Over U, there are well-defined Poincaré residue maps

$$\mathscr{P}_{S_U} : \omega_X(S)|_U \to \omega_{S^n}|_U, \text{ and } \mathscr{P}_{\overline{S}_{\pi^{-1}U}} : \omega_{\overline{X}}(\overline{S})|_{\pi^{-1}U} \to \omega_{S^n}|_{\pi^{-1}U}.$$

Denote the unique extensions to maps $\omega_X(S) \to \omega_{S^n}$ and $\omega_{\overline{X}}(\overline{S}) \to \omega_{S^n}$ by $\mathscr{P}_S$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\overline{S}}$, respectively. Denote also by $\mathscr{P}_S$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\overline{S}}$ the induced homomorphisms on sections. These latter maps then descend to maps between linear systems, which we also denote by $\mathscr{P}_S$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\overline{S}}$ respectively. Then,

(2.11.e)
$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta) &= \underbrace{K_X + S + \Delta|_{S^n} - \mathscr{P}_S(K_X + S)}_{\text{by definition}} \\
&= \underbrace{K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}|_{S^n} - \mathscr{P}_{\overline{S}}(K_{\overline{X}} + \overline{S})}_{\substack{\text{first term is (2.11.d), and the second term follows by identifying } U \\ \text{and } \pi^{-1}U \text{ from (2.11.c)}}} = \underbrace{\operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\overline{D} + \overline{\Delta})}_{\text{by definition}}
\end{aligned}$$

The last ingredient is [Kaw07, Theorem], stating that

(2.11.f)
$$(S^n, \operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\overline{D} + \overline{\Delta})) \text{ is lc } \Leftrightarrow (\overline{X}, \overline{D} + \overline{S} + \overline{\Delta}) \text{ is lc near } \overline{S}.$$

Combining (2.11.a), (2.11.e) and (2.11.f) yields the statement of the lemma. ☐

Corollary 2.12. *Let both X and the effective, Cartier divisor $S \subseteq X$ be demi-normal schemes. Furthermore, let $\Delta \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X, which avoids the codimension 0 points of S, and the singular codimension 1 points of X and S. Assume also that $K_X + S + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then*

$$(S, \operatorname{Diff}_S(\Delta)) \textit{ is slc } \Leftrightarrow (X, S + \Delta) \textit{ is slc near } S.$$

Proof. Let $\tau : (S^n, E) \to S$ be the normalization of S. Similarly to (2.11.e), using [Kol12, (5.7.2)], one can show that

(2.12.a)
$$\operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta) = \tau^* \operatorname{Diff}_S(\Delta) + E.$$

That is, the following diagram of implications conclude our proof.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(S, \operatorname{Diff}_S(\Delta)) \text{ is slc} \arrow[r, Leftrightarrow, "\text{[Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10]}"] & (S^n, E + \tau^* \operatorname{Diff}_S(\Delta)) \text{ is lc} \arrow[d, Leftrightarrow, "\text{(2.12.a)}"] \\
(X, S + \Delta) \text{ is lc near } S \arrow[r, Leftrightarrow, "\text{Lemma 2.11}"'] & (S^n, \operatorname{Diff}_{S^n}(\Delta))
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Finally, the next lemma shows that the total space of a family of slc schemes over slc schemes is slc. We need to add also a divisor to the base, so that the induction goes through. I.e., so that Corollary 2.12 can be applied. Notice also that Δ in the statement does not have to be effective.

Lemma 2.13. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat family of slc schemes (satisfying Kollár's condition) over an slc pair (Y, Δ), then $(X, f^*\Delta)$ is also slc.*

Proof. Step 1: X is demi-normal. X is S_2 by [PS12, Lemma 4.2]. Furthermore, every codimension one point $x \in X$ is either a smooth point of a fiber over a smooth point or a nodal point of a fiber over a smooth point or a smooth point of a fiber over a nodal point. In either case x is a nodal point.

Step 2: K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. The sheaf ω_X is S_2 by [KM98, Corollary 5.69]. Also $\omega_X \cong \omega_{X/Y} \otimes \omega_Y$ over the Gorenstein locus, and hence in codimension one. So, by [Har94, Theorem 1.12], $\omega_X \cong \omega_{X/Y}[\otimes]\omega_Y$ globally on X. However, by definition of a stable variety and by a basic property of a stable family there is an $N > 0$, such that both $\omega_{X/Y}^{[N]}$ and $\omega_Y^{[N]}$ are line bundles. Therefore, $\omega_X^{[N]} \cong \omega_{X/Y}^{[N]}[\otimes]\omega_Y^{[N]}$ is also a line bundle. Hence K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

Step 3: the discrepancies are at least -1. We prove this by induction on $d := \dim Y$. For $d = 0$, X coincides with its only fiber, hence all the statements are immediate. So, it is enough to show the inductional step.

Step 3.a: the inductional step, when $(Y, \operatorname{supp} \Delta)$ is log-smooth. First, we show the inductional step when Y is smooth and $\operatorname{supp} \Delta$ has simple normal crossings. It is enough to prove that $(X, f^*\Delta)$ is slc near every point $x \in X$. So fix $x \in X$ and let $y := f(x)$. So, fix a $y \in Y$. Let $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \Delta_i$, where Δ_i are distinct prime divisors, and $a_i \neq 0$. Since increasing a_i does not decrease the discrepancies, we may assume that $a_i = 1$ for every i. Furthermore, since we work locally around x we may also assume that $y \in \Delta_i$ for all i. Then since adding more divisors does not decrease the discrepancies, by possibly further restricting around x, we may also assume that $r = d$. That is, there are d components of Δ meeting in normal crossings at y. Define then $\Delta' := f^*(\Delta - \Delta_1)$, and $S := f^*\Delta_1$. By the inductional hypothesis, $(S, \Delta'|_S)$ is slc. Then we may apply Corollary 2.12 to $(X, S + \Delta')$ to obtain that so is $(X, f^* \, Delta)$. This finishes the proof of step 3.a.

Step 3.b: when (Y, Δ) is log canonical. Take a crepant log-resolution $\tau : (Y', \Delta') \to (Y, \Delta)$. Note that then (Y', Δ') is log canonical and $(Y', \operatorname{supp} \Delta')$ is log-smooth. Let $X' := X \times_Y Y'$, $f' := f \times_Y Y'$ and $\tau' := \tau \times_Y X$. By the previous point $(X', (f')^*\Delta')$ is slc. Consider then the following stream of equalities, where we assume a compatible choice of canonical and realtive canonical divisors.

$$\begin{aligned} K'_X + (f')^*\Delta' &= K_{X'/Y'} + (f')^*(K_{Y'} + \Delta') \\ &= (\tau')^* K_{X/Y} + (f')^*(\tau^* K_Y + \Delta) \\ &= (\tau')^*(K_{X/Y} + f^*(K_Y + \Delta)) \\ &= (\tau')^*(K_X + f^*\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(X, f^*\Delta)$ is slc as well, using [KM98, Lemma 2.30] and [Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10].

Step 3.c: when (Y, Δ) is slc. Let $\pi : (\overline{Y}, D) \to Y$ be the normalization of Y. Define $\overline{X} := X \times_Y \overline{Y}$, $E := X \times_Y \overline{D}$, $\overline{\Delta} := \pi^*\Delta$, $\overline{f} := f \times_Y \overline{Y}$ and $\pi' := \pi \times_Y X$. Then by the previous point, $(\overline{X}, \overline{f}^*(\overline{D} + \overline{\Delta}))$ is slc. Let $\rho : (X', F) \to \overline{X}$ be then the normalization of $\overline{X}$. Note that $\pi' \circ \rho$ is also a normalization of X with (pulled-back) conductor divisor $F + \rho^* E$. Then the following holds using [Kol12, Definition-Lemma 5.10] twice.

$$\begin{aligned} (X, f^*\Delta) \text{ is slc } &\Leftrightarrow \\ (X, F + \rho^*E + (\pi' \circ \rho)^* f^*\Delta) \text{ is lc} &\Leftrightarrow \\ (X, E + (\pi')^* f^*\Delta) \text{ is slc} & \end{aligned}$$

However, we know that $(X, E + (\pi')^* f^*\Delta)$ is slc by Step 3.b. This finishes our proof. □

2.C. *Definition of* F

This section contains the definition of the the morphism F of Theorem 1.2, using Lemma 2.13 from Section 2.B. As usually we have to start with some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $f : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Y}$ and $g : \mathscr{Y} \to \mathscr{Z}$ be flat morphisms of noetherian DM-stacks, and $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ coherent sheaves on $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$, respectively. Further assume that $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$ are flat and relatively S_d over $\mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{Z}$, respectively. Then $\mathscr{F} \otimes f^*\mathscr{G}$ is flat and relatively S_d over $\mathscr{Z}$.*

Proof. First note that by passing to étale atlases we may assume that all stacks are schemes. Second, we show that $\mathscr{F} \otimes f^*\mathscr{G}$ is flat over $\mathscr{Z}$. Consider an embedding $\mathscr{I} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Z}}$. Then by flatness of $\mathscr{G}$ over $\mathscr{Z}$, $\mathscr{G} \otimes g^*\mathscr{I} \to \mathscr{G} \otimes g^*\mathscr{O}_Z \cong \mathscr{G}$ is an injection. However, then by flatness of $\mathscr{F}$ over $\mathscr{Y}$ the following map is injective as well, which concludes flatness by [Har77, Proposition 9.1A.a].

$$(\mathscr{F} \otimes f^*\mathscr{G}) \otimes f^*g^*\mathscr{I} \cong \mathscr{F} \otimes f^*(\mathscr{G} \otimes g^*\mathscr{I}) \to \mathscr{F} \otimes f^*\mathscr{G} \cong (\mathscr{F} \otimes f^*\mathscr{G}) \otimes f^*g^*\mathscr{O}_Z$$

Finally apply [PS12, Lemma 4.2] to obtain the statement about the relative S_d property.

□

Lemma 2.15. *Given a tower of stable varieties as in* (2.7.a)*, $\mathscr{O}_{X_i}$ and $\omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}}$ are flat and relatively S_2 over X_j for every $0 \leq j < i \leq n$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The statement is immediate for $\mathscr{O}_{X_i}$ using Lemma 2.14. For $\omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}}$ first we show the statement for $j = i - 1$. Since f_i is a family of stable varieties, flatness follows from Definition 2.5. It also follows from Definition 2.5, that $\omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}}|_F \cong \omega^{[m]}_F$ for every fiber F of f_i. However, since F is S_2 and G_1, the reflexive hull $\omega_F^{[m]}$ is S_2 as well [Har94, Theorem 1.9]. This concludes the statement for $j = i - 1$. For $j < i - 1$, use Lemma 2.14. ☐

Lemma 2.16. *Given a tower of stable varieties as in* (2.7.a)*, if $f_{i,j}$ are the natural morphisms $X_i \to X_j$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^{i} f_{i,j}^* \omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}^{[m]} \cong \omega_{X_i/B}^{[m]}.$$

Furthermore, $\omega_{X_i/B}^{[m]}$ is flat and relatively S_2 over B

Proof. By Lemma 2.15 and the iterated use of Lemma 2.14, $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{i} f_{i,j}^* \omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}^{[m]}$ is flat and relatively S_2 over B. Furthermore these sheaves are isomorphic to $\omega_{X_i/B}^{[m]}$ in relative codimension one. Hence, [HK04, Proposition 3.6], concludes our proof. ☐

Lemma 2.17. *Given a family $X \to B$ of stable varieties, $\omega_{X/B}$ is nef (as a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle).*

Proof. By [Fuj12], $f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ is a nef vector bundle for big and divisible enough m. Since $\omega_{X/B}$ is relatively ample, $\omega_{X/B}$ is a relatively globally generated line bundle for big and divisible enough m. Choose then an m, for which both hold. Then there is a surjection $f^* f_* \omega_{X/B}^{[m]} \to \omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ from a nef vector bundle. Therefore, $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ and hence $\omega_{X/B}$ is nef. ☐

Lemma 2.18. *Given a tower of stable varieties as in* (2.7.a)*, f is a family of stable varieties.*

Proof. By the iterated use of Lemma 2.13, the fibers of f are slc schemes. Clearly they are proper, connected and equidimensional as well. Next we prove by induction that $\omega_{X_i/B}$ is a relatively ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle. Indeed, for $i = 1$ it follows from the definition of a family of stable varieties. For the inductional step, notice that by Lemma 2.16, $\omega_{X_i/B} \cong f_i^* \omega_{X_{i-1}/B} \otimes \omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}$. By the inductional hypothesis $\omega_{X_{i-1}/B}$ is relatively ample over B, and $\omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}$ is nef and relatively ample over X_{i-1}. Then it follows that $\omega_{X_i/B}$ is relatively ample as well. In particular so is $\omega_{X/B}$, which implies that the fibers of f are stable varieties.

Finally we have to prove that $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ is flat and compatible with arbitrary base-change. By [HK04, Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.8] this follows as soon as show that $\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}$ is flat and relatively S_2. However, that follows from Lemma 2.16. ☐

Definition 2.19. Let $\underline{n} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ be a dimension vector and set $m := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$. Define then $F : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}} \to \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_m$ to be the functor that takes a tower of stable varieties as in (2.7.a) to the family of stable varieties $f : X \to B$. This latter family is indeed a family of stable varieties by Lemma 2.18. The action of F on the arrows is the natural one.

3. DEFORMATION THEORY OF $\mathfrak{TM}_h$

3.A. *Basic definitions*

The main technical difficulty about the deformation theory of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ is that by Definition 2.5 not all families with stable fibers are allowed in the pseudo-functor of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$. The allowed deformations are sometimes called $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations in the literature. Another, equivalent approach is to define the index-one covering stack $\mathscr{X}$ of a stable variety X and identify the deformation theory of X in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ by the (unconstrained) deformation theory of $\mathscr{X}$ [AH11]. We implement an analolgue of the latter approach for towers of stable varieties. First let us recall the necessary definitions and facts from [AH11]. We state the definitions of [AH11] only in the special case when polarization is given by the canonical sheaf, and we also adopt them slightly to this situation.

Definition 3.1. A DM-stack $\mathscr{X}$ is *cyclotomic*, if all its stabilizers are isomorphic to a cyclotomic group. A line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on a DM-stack $\mathscr{X}$ is called *uniformizing*, if $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathscr{X}}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathscr{L}^m\right)$ is representable (by an algebraic space). If $\mathscr{X}\to\mathscr{B}$ is a morphism of DM-stacks, then $\mathscr{L}$ is called *uniformizing over* $\mathscr{B}$ or *relatively uniformizing*, if the morphism $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathscr{X}}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathscr{L}^m\right)\to\mathscr{B}$ is representable (by algebraic spaces). A *stable stack* is a cyclotomic DM-stack $\mathscr{X}$, such that

- $\mathscr{X}$ is connected and has slc. singularities (in particular it is of finite type over k, S_2, reduced, nodal in codimension one and equidimensional),
- $\mathscr{X}$ is separated,
- $\omega_{\mathscr{X}}$ is a uniformizing, ample line bundle on $\mathscr{X}$ and
- the coarse moduli map $\pi:\mathscr{X}\to X$ is isomorphism in codimension one.

A *family of stable stacks* is a flat morphism $\mathscr{X}\to\mathscr{B}$ of DM-stacks, such that, all $\mathscr{X}_b$ are stable stacks (where b is a k-point of $\mathscr{B}$), and $\omega_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{B}}$ is a uniformizing line bundle for $\mathscr{X}$ over $\mathscr{B}$.

Definition 3.2. If $X\to B$ is a family of stable varieties, then the *index-one covering stack* is defined as

$$\mathscr{X}:=\left[\operatorname{Spec}_X\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right].$$

Theorem 3.3. [AH11, Theorem 5.3.6] *The category $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n$ of Notation 2.6 is equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{Stab}_n$ of families of stable stacks over k of dimension n. The isomorphism is given by the above functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(B) & \to & \mathfrak{Stab}_n(B)\\ X & \mapsto & \left[\operatorname{Spec}_X\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_{X/B}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right],\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathfrak{Stab}_n(B) & \to & \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(B)\\ \mathscr{X} & \mapsto & \textit{the coarse moduli space } X \textit{ of } \mathscr{X}.\end{array}$$

Definition 3.4. If X is a stable variety X, then the deformation functor of X in $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_h$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. That is, $\mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ assigns to a local Artinian ring A the set of families of stable varieties over $\operatorname{Spec} A$ that restrict to X over the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. This agrees with the set of flat deformations of the scheme X obeying Kollár's condition form Definition 2.5. By Theorem 3.3 it also agrees with the set of flat deformations of the index-one cover $\mathscr{X}$ of X, or shortly $\mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)=\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X})$. Notice that here we used the fact that a flat deformation of a stable stacks over a local Artinian ring is automatically a family of stable stacks. Indeed, the representability condition in Definition 3.1 is decided at geometric points by [AV02, Lemma 4.4.3].

The goal of Section 3 is to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for towers of stable varieties. The stack side will be some special towers of stable stacks. The precise definitions are given in Definition 3.5.

Definition 3.5. A *tower of stable stacks* $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ is a commutative diagram

(3.5.a)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{X}_n \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_n"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "\tilde{f}"] & \mathscr{X}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_{n-1}"] & \dots \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_2"] & \mathscr{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_1"] & \mathscr{X}_0 = B ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all $\tilde{f}_i$ are families of stable stacks. The *coarse tower* of a tower of stable stacks as in (3.5.a) is the tower formed by the coarse moduli spaces X_i of $\mathscr{X}_i$, shown in the following commutative diagram.

(3.5.b)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{X}_n \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_n"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_n"] & \mathscr{X}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{n-1}"] & \dots \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_2"] & \mathscr{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"] & \mathscr{X}_0 = B \arrow[d, equal] ,\\
X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "f"'] & X_{n-1} \arrow[r, "f_{n-1}"] & \dots \arrow[r, "f_2"] & X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & X_0 = B
\end{tikzcd}$$

A tower of stable stacks as in (3.5.a), is *admissible*, if for all sufficiently big and divisible m, the sheaves $(\pi_{i-1})_* (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ are locally free, where π_{i-1} are the morphisms of (3.5.b).

So, the main goal of the seciton is to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for towers. Similarly to Theorem 3.3, we obtain a tower of schemes from a tower of stable stacks by taking coarse moduli spaces as in (3.5.b). To guarantee that this tower of schemes is a tower of stable varieties, we need the admissibility condition of Definition (3.5). Loosely speaking it guarantees that $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \right)$, which is in certain sense a relative coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{X}_i$ over $\mathscr{X}_{i-1}$, is the pullback of X_i via $\mathscr{X}_{i-1} \to X_{i-1}$. See the proof of Lemma 3.13 and Remark 3.14 for details.

Similarly when passing form a tower of stable varieties (X_i, f_i) to a tower of stable stacks $(\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$, we cannot simply take $\mathscr{X}_i$ to be the index-one covering stack of X_i, since then $\tilde{f}_i$ would not be a family of stable stacks. What we can do is the following.

Definition 3.6. Given a tower of stable varieties $\underline{X}$ as in (2.7.a), define the *index-one cover* of $\underline{X}$ as the tower of stable stacks $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$, where $\mathscr{X}_i$ is defined by induction on i as

$$\mathscr{X}_i := \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}} \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right] \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$$

and $\tilde{f}_i$ are the natural morphism $\mathscr{X}_i \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$. This definition does make sense according to Lemma 3.12.

3.B. *Auxiliary statements*

To prove the tower version of Theorem 3.3 we need a few shorter technical statements.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a separated Deligne-Mumford stack over the scheme U and $\mathscr{F}$ a flat coherent sheaf on $\mathscr{X}$. Denote by $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to X$ the coarse moduli map. Then*

(1) $\pi_* \mathscr{F}$ is flat and
(2) if $\mathscr{F}$ is also relatively S_r with relatively pure dimensional support so is $\pi_* \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. We prove the two statements at once. By [AV02, Lemma 2.2.3], we may assume that $\mathscr{X}$ is a quotient stack $[V/G]$ for some finite group G, and X is the scheme theoretic quotient V/G. Let $\rho : V \to [V/G]$ be the natural map. Then, by the characteristic zero assumption, the usual trace map $\rho_* \mathscr{O}_V \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$ splits the natural inclusion $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}} \to \rho_* \mathscr{O}_V$. Since $\rho : V \to [V/G]$ is étale, $\rho^* \mathscr{F}$ is flat (resp. flat and relatively S_r) over U. Hence by the finiteness of $\pi \circ \rho$, $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathscr{F}$ is flat over U (resp. by the base-change property of pushforward via a finite morphism and by [KM98, Proposition 5.4], $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathscr{F}$ is flat and relatively S_r over U) as well. Furthermore by the above mentioned trace splitting, $\rho_* \rho^* \mathscr{F}$ contains $\mathscr{F}$ as a direct summand. Hence, $\pi_* \rho_* \rho^* \mathscr{F}$ contains $\pi_* \mathscr{F}$ as a direct summand and then consequently the latter is flat (resp. flat and relatively S_r) as well. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *Given a tower of stable stacks as in* (3.5.a), *$\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}$ and $\omega^{[m]}_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ are flat and relatively S_2 over $\mathscr{X}_j$ for every $0 \leq j < i \leq n$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The statement is immediate for $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}$ using Lemma 2.14, and then also for the other sheaves, since $\omega^{[m]}_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ are locally free. ☐

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ be a tower of stable stacks as in* (3.5.a) *over the spectrum of a local Artinian ring A. Let P be the closed point of $B = \operatorname{Spec} A$. If the restriction $\underline{\mathscr{X}}_P$ of $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ over P is admissible, then $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ is admissible as well.*

Proof. We use the notations of (3.5.b) during the proof. First, we claim that for $m \gg 0$, the formulation of $(\pi_{i-1})_* (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is compatible with base change, that is, for every $B' \to B$,

$$\left((\pi_{i-1})_* (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \right)_{B'} \cong ((\pi_{i-1})_{B'})_* ((\tilde{f}_i)_{B'})_* (\omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}})^m_{B'} \tag{3.9.a}$$

Since $\omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is a $\tilde{f}_i$-ample line bundle, for all $m \gg 0$, its higher cohomologies on the fibers of $\tilde{f}_i$ vanish. In particular, then by cohomology and base change

$$\left((\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \right)_{B'} \cong ((\tilde{f}_i)_{B'})_* (\omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}})^m_{B'},$$

and $(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is locally free. Furthermore by [AV02, Lemma 2.2.3], $(\pi_{i-1})_*$ commutes with base change for any sheaf. This concludes the proof of (3.9.a). Fix for the remainder of the proof an m for which (3.9.a) holds and is divisible enough.

Notice now that by [AH11, Lemma 2.3.6], $(\pi_{i-1})_P : (\mathscr{X}_{i-1})_P \to (X_{i-1})_P$ is the coarse moduli map of $(\mathscr{X}_{i-1})_P$. Therefore, by (3.9.a) and by the assumption that $\underline{\mathscr{X}}_P$ is admissible, $(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_*\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}\Big|_{(X_{i-1})_P}$ is a locally free sheaf. Furthermore, since $(\tilde{f}_i)_*\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is locally free, it is flat over B. Hence by Lemma 3.7.1, $(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_*\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is flat over B. Therefore, $(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_*\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is a flat deformation of a locally free sheaf, which is locally free by [Har10, Exercise 7.1]. This finishes our proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $f : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Y}$ be a proper morphism of separated DM-stacks and $\mathscr{L}$ an f-ample line bundle. Define $\mathscr{Z} := \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathscr{Y}}\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} f_*(\mathscr{L}^n)\right)$ and let $\rho : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Z}$ be the natural morphism. Then $\rho_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Z}}$. Furthermore, if f was flat, so is $\mathscr{Z}$ over $\mathscr{Y}$.*

Proof. Since the question is étale local on $\mathscr{Y}$, we may assume that $Y := \mathscr{Y}$ is a scheme. Let then $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to Z$ be the coarse moduli map of $\mathscr{X}$ and $g : Z \to Y$ the natural induced morphism. It is enough to show that $\mathscr{Z} \cong Z$, compatibly with ρ and π.

Since $\mathscr{X}$ is a DM-stack, there is an integer $m > 0$, and a line bundle $\mathscr{K}$ on Z, such that $\pi^*\mathscr{K} \cong \mathscr{L}^m$. Then, $\pi^*\mathscr{K}^n \cong \mathscr{L}^{n\cdot m}$ for every m and $\mathscr{K}$ is also relatively ample over Y. Therefore, the following computation concludes our proof.

$$Z \cong \operatorname{Proj}_Y\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} g_*(\mathscr{K}^n)\right) \underbrace{\cong \operatorname{Proj}_Y\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} g_*\pi_*(\mathscr{L}^{n\cdot m})\right)}_{\substack{\text{projection formula and the fact that since} \\ \pi \text{ is a coarse moduli map, } \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}} \cong \mathscr{O}_Z}}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Proj}_Y\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} f_*(\mathscr{L}^{n\cdot m})\right) \cong \operatorname{Proj}_Y\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} f_*(\mathscr{L}^{n})\right) \cong \mathscr{Z}$$

$\square$

3.C. *Equivalences of deformation functors*

Here we show the promised tower version of Theorem 3.3

Lemma 3.11. *If $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ is the index-one cover of a tower $\underline{X} = (X_i, f_i)$ of stable varieties, then the natural morphisms $\pi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i$ are coarse moduli morphisms.*

Proof. We prove that $\pi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i$ are coarse moduli morphisms by induction on i. For $i = 0$ it is obvious. Then, since π_i is proper, we have to show that it is quasi-finite and $(\pi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i}$. First, let us introduce some notation in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_i \arrow[d, "f"] & \mathscr{X}_i' := \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \arrow[l, "\eta"'] \arrow[dl, "\underline{f}_i"] & \mathscr{X}_i \arrow[l, "\zeta"'] \arrow[dl, "\tilde{f}_i"] \arrow[ll, bend right, "\pi_i"'] \\
X_{i-1} & \mathscr{X}_{i-1} \arrow[l, "\pi_{i-1}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By induction π_{i-1} is quasi-finite. Second, by [AH11, Theorem 5.3.6], η is a coarse moduli map of a DM-stack, hence it is also quasi-finite. So, it follows that π_i is quasi-finite. For the other condition, notice that $\eta_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i'} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i}$, since η is a coarse moduli morphism. Furthermore, by flat base-change,

$$\zeta_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \zeta_* \tilde{f}_i^* \mathscr{X}_{i-1} \cong \underline{f}_i^* (\pi_{i-1})_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \cong \underbrace{\underline{f}_i^* \mathscr{O}_{X_{i-1}}}_{\substack{\pi_{i-1} \text{ is a coarse} \\ \text{moduli map}}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i'}.$$

Hence $(\pi_i)_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i}$ and π_i is a coarse moduli morphism indeed. □

Lemma 3.12. *The index-one cover* $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ *of a tower* $\underline{X}$ *of stable varieties defined in Definition 3.6 is indeed a tower of stable stacks. Furthermore, it is admissible.*

Proof. By [AH11, Theorem 5.3.6], $\left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right]$ is a family of stable stacks over X_{i-1}. Furthermore, the notion of a family of stable stacks is invariant under base-change, hence $\mathscr{X}_i \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ is also a family of stable stacks. This finishes the proof of the statement that $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ is a tower of stable stacks.

To prove admissibility, first note that the induced morphisms $\pi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i$ are coarse moduli maps, and therefore $\underline{X}$ is the coarse moduli tower of $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$. Second, note also that by Lemma 3.8, $\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is flat and relatively S_2 over B. Hence, by Lemma 3.7.2, $(\pi_i)_*(\omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}})$ is flat and relatively S_2 over B as well. Furthermore, it is isomorphic in relative codimension 1 to $\omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}}$ which is also flat and relatively S_2 over B according to Lemma 2.15. So, these two sheaves are isomorphic globally by [HK04, Corollary 3.8]. That is,

$$(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \cong (f_i)_*(\pi_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \cong (f_i)_* \omega^{[m]}_{X_i/X_{i-1}},$$

which is locally free for all divisible enough $m \gg 0$. This concludes our proof. □

Lemma 3.13. *The coarse tower* $\underline{X}$ *as in* (3.5.b) *of an admissible tower of stable stacks* $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ *is a tower of stable varieties.*

Proof. We need to show that $f_i : X_i \to X_{i-1}$ are families of stable varieties. Fix an i. First, we *claim that for big and divisible enough* m,

$$(\pi_{i-1})^*(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \cong (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}. \tag{3.13.a}$$

Indeed, the shaves $(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ are locally free for all $m \gg 0$. Choose an m for which this holds and also $(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is locally free. Then, $(\pi_{i-1})^*(\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ is locally free as well, and in particular it is flat and relatively S_2 over B. Furthermore, since π_{i-1} is isomorphism in relative codimension one over B, this sheaf is isomorphic to $(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}$ in relative codimension one. Therefore by the stack version of [HK04, Corollary 3.8] we obtain (3.13.a). This finishes the proof of our claim.

Define then

$$\overline{X}_i := \operatorname{Proj}_{X_{i-1}}\left(\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} (\pi_{i-1})_*(\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}\right) \text{ and } X_i' := \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}\left(\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega^m_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}\right).$$

Notice that by (3.13.a), $X_i' \cong \overline{X}_i \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$. Let $\rho : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i'$, $\xi : X_i' \to \overline{X}_i$, $g_i : \overline{X}_i \to X_{i-1}$ and $f_i' : X_i' \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ be the associated morphisms. By Lemma 3.10, $\rho_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i'}$, and both g_i and f_i' are flat. Since π_{i-1} is a coarse moduli map, $(\pi_{i-1})_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_{i-1}}$. Hence by flat base change, $\xi_* \mathscr{O}_{X_i'} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}_i}$. Therefore, $(\xi \circ \rho)_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}_i}$. Furthermore, $\xi \circ \rho$ is proper. Whence, $\xi \circ \rho$ is a coarse moduli map, c.f. [AV02, Lemma 2.2.2]. So, $\overline{X}_i \cong X_i$ and via this isomorphism $g_i = f_i$ and $\xi \circ \rho = \pi_i$.

Choose now a scheme Z that maps finitely and surjectively to $\mathscr{X}_{i-1}$. Define then $W := X_i' \times_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} Z$ and $\mathscr{Y} := \mathscr{X}_i \times_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} Z$. This yields the following Cartesian diagram, the horizontal fibers being finite morphisms.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{X}_i \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \mathscr{Y} \arrow[l, "\alpha"'] \arrow[d, "\eta"] \\
X_i' & W \arrow[l, "\beta"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence,

$$\mathscr{O}_W \cong \beta^* \mathscr{O}_{X_i'} \cong \beta^* \rho_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \underbrace{\eta_* \alpha^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}}_{\substack{\text{α and β are finite, so} \\ \text{base change applies}}} \cong \eta_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Y}}.$$

Also, since ρ was proper, so is η. Hence, η is a coarse moduli map, c.f. [AV02, Lemma 2.2.2]. In particular then by [AH11, Theorem 5.3.6], $W \to Z$ is a stable family.

Notice now, that $W \to Z$ is the pullback of $X_i \to X_{i-1}$ via the composition map $Z \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1} \to X_{i-1}$, which is finite and surjective. So, in particular all fibers of $X_i \to X_{i-1}$ appear in $W \to Z$, and hence all fibers of $X_i \to X_{i-1}$ are stable. Therefore, we are left to show that $\omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}$ is flat and commutes with arbitrary base change. By [Kol08, Corollary 25] there is a locally closed decomposition $\cup_j X_{i-1}^j = X_{i-1}$, such that if $T \to X_{i-1}$, $\omega_{X_i \times_{X_{i-1}} T/T}^{[m]}$ commutes with base change and is flat over T for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $T \to X_i$ factorizes through X_{i-1}^j for some j. However $T := Z$ is one such choice of T, with the above already discussed composition $Z \to X_{i-1}$. Hence the image of $Z \to X_{i-1}$ has to be contained in some of X_{i-1}^j. However, since $Z \to X_{i-1}$ is finite, its image is X_{i-1}. Therefore, $X_{i-1}^j = X_{i-1}$ for some j, and then consequently, $\omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}$ is flat over X_{i-1} and commutes with arbitrary base-change. $\square$

REMARK 3.14. Note that the proof of Lemma 3.13 yields also that if $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$ is an admissible tower of stable stacks, then the coarse tower $\underline{X}$ can be described using the notations of (3.5.b) as

$$X_i \cong \operatorname{Proj}_{X_{i-1}} \left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} (\pi_{i-1})_* (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^m \right).$$

Furthermore, similarly $X_i' := X_i \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ can be described as

$$X_i' := \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} (\tilde{f}_i)_* \omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^m \right),$$

and if $\rho : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i'$ is the natural morphism then $\rho_* \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i'}$. In particular, ρ becomes a coarse moduli map after pulling back via any finite or étale cover of $\mathscr{X}_{i-1}$.

The following theorem is the promised tower version of Theorem 3.3. The previous two lemmas guarantee that the two functors in the statement do make sense.

Theorem 3.15. *There is an equivalence of the category $\mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}$ of towers of stable varieties of dimension vector $\underline{m}$ introduced in Definition 2.7 and of the category of towers of stable stacks $\mathfrak{Tower}_{\underline{m}}$ with the same dimension vector given by the above functors*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}(B) & \to & \mathfrak{Tower}_{\underline{m}}(B) \\ \underline{X} = (X_i, f_i) & \mapsto & \underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i) = \textit{the index-one cover of } \underline{X}, \end{array} \tag{3.15.a}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{Tower}_{\underline{m}}(B) & \to & \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{m}}(B) \\ \underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i) & \mapsto & \underline{X} = (X_i, f_i) = \textit{the coarse tower of } \underline{\mathscr{X}}. \end{array} \tag{3.15.b}$$

REMARK 3.16. A priori, $\mathfrak{Tower}_{\underline{m}}$ is a 2-category. However, given an arrow $\underline{\mathscr{X}} \to \underline{\mathscr{X}}'$ between tower of stable stacks as in (3.5.a), by [AV02, Lemma 4.2.3], $\mathscr{X}_i \to \mathscr{X}_i'$ do not have non-trivial 2-automorphisms. Hence, $\mathfrak{Tower}_{\underline{m}}$ is indeed equivalent to a 1-category.

Proof of Theorem 3.15. "Step 1: (3.15.a) *applied first and then* (3.15.b)*" is naturally isomorphic to identity.* We have to show that the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{X}_i$, defined in (3.15.a), is X_i. However, this has already been shown in lemma 3.11.

Step 2: "(3.15.b) *applied first and then* (3.15.a)*" is naturally isomorphic to identity.* Given an admissible tower of stable stacks $\underline{\mathscr{X}}$, if X_i is the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{X}_i$ as in (3.15.b), we are supposed to prove that

$$\left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1} \cong \mathscr{X}_i.$$

Throughout the proof of this step, we use the notations of Remark 3.14, complemented with the following notations for the induced natural morphisms: $f_i' : X_i' \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ and $\xi : X_i' \to X_i$.

First, note that for any m, $\omega_{X_i'/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^{[m]} \cong \rho_* \omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^{m}$. Indeed, both sheaves are flat and relatively S_2 over $\mathscr{X}_{i-1}$: the former because $X_i' \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ is a stable family, and the latter because of Lemmas 3.8, 3.7 and Remark 3.14. Furthermore, the two sheaves are isomorphic in relative codimension one. Hence the stack version of [HK04, Proposition 3.6] yields the claimed isomorphism. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1} &\cong \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i'}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \xi^*\omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \\ \cong \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i'}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i'/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^{[m]}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] &\cong \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i'}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho_*\omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}^{m}\right)/\mathbb{G}_m\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16.a}$$

Set $P := \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega^{[m]}_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \right)$. *We claim that $g : P \to X'_i$ is representable and affine.* Assume first, that we proved this. Then if $h : P \to \mathscr{X}_i$ is the natural morphism,

$$\mathscr{X}_i \cong \underbrace{[P/\mathbb{G}_m]}_{\substack{\omega_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \text{ is} \\ \text{a line bundle}}} \cong \underbrace{\left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X'_i} \left(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \operatorname{Char}(\mathbb{G}_m)} (\rho_* h_* \mathscr{O}_P)^{\chi} \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right]}_{P \to X'_i \text{ is representable and affine}}$$

$$\cong \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X'_i} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho_* \omega^{m}_{\mathscr{X}_i/\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \right) / \mathbb{G}_m \right].$$

Therefore, using (3.16.a), our claim that g is representable and affine would finish the proof of Step 2. So in the remaining part we show that g is representable and affine indeed. First, we show that g is representable by algebraic spaces. Consider the following commutative diagram for any algebraically closed field k' and $x \in P(k')$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Aut}(g(x)) \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \operatorname{Aut}(x) \arrow[l] \arrow[dl, hook] \\
\operatorname{Aut}(f'_i(g(x))) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the horizontal arrow is isomorphism, because f'_i is the pull-back of a morphism of schemes and the diagonal arrow is injective because of [AV02, Lemma 4.4.3] and the fact that $P \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ is representable by algebraic spaces according to Definition 3.1. However, then the horizontal arrow has to be injective as well. So, using [AV02, Lemma 4.4.3] again, g is representable by algebraic spaces. Then by [Sta, Lemma 03WG], it is enough to prove that g is affine after pulling back the situation to an étale cover of X'_i. Hence we may assume that $\mathscr{X}_{i-1}$ is a scheme and then so is X'_i. By Remark 3.14, X'_i is then the coarse moduli space of $\mathscr{X}_i$. Therefore, we may also assume that $\mathscr{X}_i$ is a quotient stack of an affine scheme U by a finite group G [AV02, Lemma 2.3.3.]. Then, X'_i is the scheme quotient U/G and hence affine. However, in this situation $U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P$ is a line bundle over the affine scheme U, hence it is affine as well. Furthermore, $[U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P/G] \cong P$. However, since P is an algebraic space, G acts freely on $U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P$. Therefore, the stack quotient $[U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P/G]$ agrees with the scheme quotient $U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P/G$. Hence $U \times_{\mathscr{X}_i} P/G \cong P$ and therefore P is an affine scheme as well. This concludes the proof of our claim.

□

3.D. ***Conclusion***

Using Theorem 3.15, we express explicitly what vanishing is needed to show Theorem 1.2. The initial idea is that starting with a tower of stable varieties $\underline{X} = (X_i, f_i)$ over k with index-one covering tower $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ use the following commutative diagram of deformation functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{D}ef(\underline{\mathscr{X}}) \arrow[r, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}"] \arrow[d, "\text{forgetting lower levels}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{X}) \arrow[d, "\text{forgetting lower levels}"] \\
\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_n) \arrow[r, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem 3.15, the top horizontal arrow is an equivalence. In this section (in Proposition 3.20) we will also prove that the left vertical arrow is an equivalence. Then we would like to use Theorem 3.3 to say that the bottom horizontal arrow is an equivalence as well, and then so is the right vertical one, which would conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. However, unfortunately Theorem 3.3 does not apply to the lower horizontal arrow, since $\mathscr{X}_n$ is not the index-one cover of X_n. Hence we factor the bottom arrow as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_n) \arrow[rrr, bend left, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}"] & \mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_n \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}) \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{D}ef(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}) \arrow[r, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}$ is the index-one cover of X_n and in the following proposition we show that the introduced new arrows are equivalences.

Proposition 3.17. *Given a tower of stable varieties* $\underline{X} = (X_i, f_i)$ *over* $B = \operatorname{Spec} k$*, let* $(\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ *be the index-one cover of* $\underline{X}$ *as in Theorem 3.15 and* $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ *the index-one cover of* X_i *as in Theorem 3.3. Then there is a morphism* $\phi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ *factoring* $\pi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i$*, such that the following two natural functors of deformation spaces are equivalences*

$$\mathfrak{D}ef(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}) \longleftarrow \mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_i).$$

Proof. For $i = 1$, $\mathscr{X}_i \cong \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$, hence the statement is trivial. So, let us assume that $i > 1$.

Step 1: defining ϕ_i. Let $f_{i,j}$ be the composition maps $X_i \to X_j$. First, we prove by induction on i that

$$\mathscr{X}_i \cong \left[\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{A}_i \middle/ \mathbb{G}_m^i \right], \text{ where } \mathscr{A}_i := \bigoplus_{(m_1,\dots,m_i)\in\mathbb{Z}^i} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{i} f_{i,j}^* \omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}^{[m_j]} \right). \tag{3.17.a}$$

Let

$$\mathscr{B}_i := \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i/X_{i-1}}^{[m]}.$$

Then, the following compuation shows (3.17.a).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{X}_i &= \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{B}_i)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1} \\ &\cong \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{B}_i)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \times_{X_i} (X_i \times_{X_{i-1}} \mathscr{X}_{i-1}) \\ &\cong \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{B}_i)/\mathbb{G}_m\right] \times_{X_i} \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} f_i^* \mathscr{A}_{i-1})\big/ \mathbb{G}_m^{i-1}\right] \\ &\cong \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{B}_i \times_{X_i} \operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} f_i^* \mathscr{A}_{i-1})/\mathbb{G}_m^i\right] \\ &\cong \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{B}_i \otimes f_i^* \mathscr{A}_{i-1})/\mathbb{G}_m^i\right] \\ &\cong \left[(\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{A}_i)/\mathbb{G}_m^i\right]\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by Lemma 2.16, there is a (graded) embedding

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i}^{[m]} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{A}_i, \tag{3.17.b}$$

which induces a morphism

$$\operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{A}_i \to \operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i}^{[m]} \right). \tag{3.17.c}$$

Furthermore by the grading of (3.17.b), (3.17.c) is equivariant with respect to the i-times multiplication map $\xi_i : \mathbb{G}_m^i \to \mathbb{G}$. Quotienting then out with $\mathbb{G}^m$ and $\mathbb{G}$ on the two sides of (3.17.c) yields the morphism $\phi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$.

Step 2: $\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}) \to \mathfrak{D}ef(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i})$ *is an equivalence.* We use [BHPS12, Proposition 3.9]. That is, we have to exhibit an open set $U \subseteq \mathscr{X}_i$, such that $\phi_i|_U$ is an isomorphism, $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \mathscr{X}_i \backslash U \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i, \overline{y}}^{\mathrm{sh}} \geq 3$ for every geometric point point $\overline{y} \in \mathscr{X}_i \setminus U$.

Consider now any (not necessarily closed) point $x \in X_i$. Set $x_j := f_{i,j}(x)$, $c_j := \operatorname{codim}_{(X_j)_{x_{j-1}}} x_j$ and $c := \operatorname{codim}_{X_i} x$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^i c_j = c$, that is the sum of the codimensions of x_j's in their fibers over X_{j-1} is equal to the codimension of x. These numbers describe the behavior of x to a large extent. For example, assume that $c \leq 3$. Then at most one of c_j can be bigger than 1, and hence at most one of the x_j is not relatively Gorenstein over X_{j-1}. Denote this j by j'. Then $\left(f_{i,j}^* \omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}\right)_x$ is free except possibly for $j = j'$. Let V be then the above locus, i.e., the locus of points x for which $\left(f_{i,j}^* \omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}\right)_x$ is free except for possibly one value of j. By the above discussion $\operatorname{codim}_{X_i} X_i \setminus V \geq 4$. Define then $U := \phi_i^{-1} V$.

First, we have to prove that $\phi|_U$ is an isomorphism. For that consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q := \operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \mathscr{A}_i \arrow[d, "g"] \arrow[rd, "\rho"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "q"'] & \\ R := [Q/\operatorname{Ker} \xi_i] \arrow[r, "\zeta"'] \arrow[d, "h"] & P := \operatorname{Spec}_{X_i} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{X_i/U}^{[m]} \right) \arrow[d, "p"] \\ \mathscr{X}_i = [Q/\mathbb{G}_m^i] = [R/\mathbb{G}_m] \arrow[r, "\phi_i"] & \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i} = [P/\mathbb{G}_m] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.17.d}$$

It is enough to show that $\zeta|_{h^{-1}U}$ is an isomorphism, for which it is enough to show that ρ is a $\operatorname{Ker}\xi_i$-torsor over $p^{-1}V$ or equivalently that

$$\mathscr{A}_i \cong \left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega^{[m]}_{X_i/U}\right)\left[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^{-1}\right]. \tag{3.17.e}$$

For that fix an arbitrary $x \in V$. Let j' be an index such that $\left(f^*_{i,j}\omega_{X_j/X_{j-1}}\right)_x$ is free for every $j \neq j'$. Then, the stalks $\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{i} f^*_{i,j}\omega^{[m_j]}_{X_j/X_{j-1}}\right)_x$ are isomorphic as soon as $m_{j'}$ is fixed. This implies (3.17.e).

Second, we have to prove that $\operatorname{depth}\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{sh}}_{\mathscr{X}_i,\overline{x}} \geq 3$ for every geometric point $\overline{x} \in \mathscr{X}_i \setminus U$. So, fix any such $\overline{x}$. Define $\overline{x}_j$ and c_j similarly as above: $\overline{x}_j := \tilde{f}_{i,j}(\overline{x})$, where $\tilde{f}_{i,j} : \mathscr{X}_i \to \mathscr{X}_j$ is the natural morphism, and $c_j := \operatorname{codim}_{(\mathscr{X}_j)_{\overline{x}_{j-1}}} \overline{x}_j$. Then since $\overline{x} \notin U$, there are at least two values of j, such that $c_j \geq 2$. Consequently for these values of j, $\operatorname{depth}\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{sh}}_{(\mathscr{X}_j)_{\overline{x}_{j-1}},\overline{x}_j} \geq 2$. However, then by the iterated use of [Gro65, Proposition 6.3.1], $\mathscr{O}^{\mathrm{sh}}_{\mathscr{X}_i,\overline{x}} \geq 4$.

Step 3: $\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}) \to \mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_i)$ is an equivalence. We use [BHPS12, Proposition 3.10]. That is, we have to show that $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ has no infinitesimal automorphisms, $(\phi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}}$ and that $R^1(\phi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} = 0$. The first condition is is shown in Lemma 3.18. For the other two, consider again the diagram (3.17.d). From the definition of P it follows that P is isomorphic to the scheme theoretic quotient $Q/\operatorname{Ker}\xi_i$. Therefore, ζ is a coarse moduli map. However, then

$$\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}} \cong (p_*\mathscr{O}_P)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \cong \underbrace{(p_*\zeta_*\mathscr{O}_R)^{\mathbb{G}_m}}_{\zeta \text{ is a coarse moduli map}} \cong ((\phi_i)_*h_*\mathscr{O}_R)^{\mathbb{G}_m} = (\phi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}$$

and

$$R^1(\phi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \hookrightarrow R^1(\phi_i)_*h_*\mathscr{O}_R \cong \underbrace{R^1(\phi_i\circ h)_*\mathscr{O}_R}_{h \text{ is affine}} \cong R^1(p\circ\zeta)_*\mathscr{O}_R \cong \underbrace{R^1p_*\mathscr{O}_P}_{\substack{\zeta \text{ is a coarse moduli map} \\ \text{and hence } R\zeta_*\mathscr{O}_R \cong \mathscr{O}_P}} = \underbrace{0}_{p \text{ is affine}}$$

This concludes our proof. □

In the proof of the following lemma there is a forward reference to Theorem 5.4. However, that does not cause any problem, since Sections 4 and 5 do not use anything from Section 3.

Lemma 3.18. *Given a tower of stable varieties $\underline{X} = (X_i, f_i)$ over $B = \operatorname{Spec} k$, let $(\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ be the index-one cover of $\underline{X}$ as in Theorem 3.15 and $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ the index-one cover of X_i as in Theorem 3.3. Then neither $\mathscr{X}_i$, nor $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ has infinitesimal automorphisms.*

Proof. First, note that if $\phi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$ is the morphism constructed in Proposition 3.17, then ϕ_i factors the coarse moduli map $\pi_i : \mathscr{X}_i \to X_i$ and by the proof of Proposition 3.17, $(\phi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}}$. Therefore, it follows that the induced morphism $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i} \to X_i$ is also a coarse moduli map. Furthermore, since ϕ_i is isomorphism over the Gorenstein locus of X_i, so is the morphism $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i} \to X_i$. Hence, it is enough to prove that a DM-stack $\mathscr{X}$ with a proper coarse moduli map $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to X_i$ isomorphism over the Gorenstein locus of X has no infinitesimal automorphisms. This will imply the statement for both $\mathscr{X}_i$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_i}$.

By Theorem 5.4, X_i has no infinitesimal automorphism. To deduce, the same for $\mathscr{X}$, note that the map $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to X_i$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. Hence, $\mathbb{L}_{\mathscr{X}/X_i}$ is supported in a closed set of codimension at least two. Therefore, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathscr{X}/X_i}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) = 0$. Applying now $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(\_, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}})$ to the usual exact sequence of cotangent complexes associated to π_i yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathscr{X}/X_i}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathscr{X}}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(L\pi^*\mathbb{L}_{X_i}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}). \end{tikzcd}$$

We have just shown that the left term is zero. Furthermore, the right term, is zero as well, because

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}}(L\pi^*\mathbb{L}_{X_i}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \cong \underbrace{\mathrm{Hom}_{X_i}(\mathbb{L}_{X_i}, R\pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}})}_{\text{by adjunction}} \cong \underbrace{\mathrm{Hom}_{X_i}(\mathbb{L}_{X_i}, \mathscr{O}_{X_i})}_{\pi \text{ is a coarse moduli map}} = \underbrace{0}_{X_i \text{ has no infinitesimal automorphisms}} .$$

This finishes our proof.

☐

Lemma 3.19. *Let $(\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ be an admissible tower of stable stacks over an Artinian local algebra A over k such that for every $2 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathscr{X}_{i-1})_k}(\Omega_{(\mathscr{X}_{i-1})_k}, R^1((\tilde{f}_i)_k)_*\mathscr{O}_{(\mathscr{X}_i)_k}) = 0.$$

Let A' be a small extension of A and $\iota_n : \mathscr{X}_n \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}'_n$ a flat extension over A'. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) set of flat extensions $\iota_i : \mathscr{X}_i \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}'_i$ and A' morphisms $\tilde{f}'_i : \mathscr{X}'_i \to \mathscr{X}'_{i-1}$, for which $\tilde{f}'_i \circ \iota_i = \tilde{f}_i \circ \iota_{i-1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. First, note that $(\mathscr{X}_i)_k$ have no infinitesimal automorphisms by Lemma 3.18. Hence, [BHPS12, Proposition 3.10] implies the unique existence of $\tilde{f}'_n$ and $\mathscr{X}'_{n-1}$. Then using [BHPS12, Proposition 3.10] iteratively yields the statement of the lemma. ☐

Proposition 3.20. *Let $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ be an admissible tower of stable stacks. Then, the natural forgetful map $\phi : \mathfrak{Def}(\underline{\mathscr{X}}) \to \mathfrak{Def}(\mathscr{X}_n)$ is an equivalence if for every $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}(\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}) = 0$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathrm{Art}_{k,\leq l}$ and $\mathrm{Art}_{k,l}$ the category of Artinian local k-algebras A, such that $\dim_k A \leq l$ or $\dim_k A = l$, respectively. We prove by induction on l, that $\phi|_{\mathrm{Art}_{k,\leq l}}$ is an equivalence. The claim is vacuous for $l = 1$. Hence we may assume that it is known for l replaced by $l-1$. Choose any $A' \in \mathrm{Art}_{k,l}$. We may find a $A \in \mathrm{Art}_{k,l-1}$, such that A' is a small extension of A. Choose now any $\mathscr{X}'_n \in \mathfrak{Def}(\mathscr{X}_n)(A')$. We have to prove that there is a unique isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{Def}(\underline{\mathscr{X}})$ mapping to $\mathscr{X}'_n$. However, by our inductional hypothesis, this is known already for $(\mathscr{X}'_n)_A \in \mathfrak{Def}(\mathscr{X}_n)(A)$. Then, Lemma 3.19 concludes our proof. ☐

Proposition 3.21. *The statement of Theorem 1.2 holds, i.e., the forgetful morphism $F : \mathfrak{TM}_{\underline{h}} \to \mathfrak{M}_{h'}$ is étale, if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}(\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}) = 0$ for every admissible tower of stable stacks $(\mathscr{X}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ and $2 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{X} = (X_i, f_i)$ be a tower of stable varieties as in (2.7.a), and let $\underline{\mathscr{X}} = (\mathscr{X}_i, f_i)$ be its index-one cover as in Definition 3.6. Further let $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}$ be the index-one cover of X_n. We are supposed to prove that the right vertical arrow of the following commutative diagram is an equivalence.

However under the assumptions of the proposition all other arrows are equivalences, hence so is the right vertical arrow.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{D}ef(\underline{\mathscr{X}}) \arrow[rrr, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}", "\text{equivalence by Theorem 3.15 and Lemma 3.9}"'] \arrow[d, "\text{equivalence by Proposition 3.20}", "\text{forgetting lower levels}"'] & & & \mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{X}) \arrow[d, "\text{forgetting lower levels}"'] \\
\mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_n) \arrow[rrr, bend right, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef(\mathscr{X}_n \to \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}) \arrow[l, "\text{equivalence by}"', "\text{Proposition 3.17}"] \arrow[r, "\text{equivalence by}", "\text{Proposition 3.17}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_n}) \arrow[r, "\text{taking coarse moduli space}", "\text{equivalence by Theorem 3.3}"'] & \mathfrak{D}ef_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\square$

4. NEGATIVITY OF HODGE BUNDLES

Disregarding issues about passing to index-one covers, by Proposition 3.21 we need to show a vanishing of $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$ for families of stable varieties $f : X \to Y$ over stable bases. By [KK10], $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is known to be a vector bundle. Hence, our approach is to show in this section that $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is anti-nef, and then show in Section 5 that $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, \mathscr{E}) = 0$ for every anti-nef vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. The main theorem of the section is as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat, projective family of connected, Du Bois schemes of pure dimension n, then $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-1} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle. If furthermore the fibers of f are S_d for some $n \geq d \geq 2$, then $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-i} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle for every $i < d$.*

Since nefness is checked on curves, proving Theorem 4.1 for Y a smooth curve turns out to be the main issue. This is shown in the following proposition, the proof of which is given in Section 4.A.

Proposition 4.2. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat, projective family of connected, Du Bois schemes of pure dimension n over a smooth, projective curve, then $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-1} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle. If furthermore the fibers of f are S_d for some $n \geq d \geq 2$, then $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef or equivalently $R^{-i} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle for every $i < d$.*

The following lemma is also needed.

Lemma 4.3. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat, projective family with Du Bois fibers, then $(R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X)^* \cong R^{-i} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is locally free and compatible with base change.*

Proof. By [KK10, Theorem 7.8], $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is locally free and compatible with base change. Hence the following computation concludes our proof.

$$R^{-i} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y} \cong R^{-i} f_* R\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathscr{O}_X, \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}) \cong \underbrace{R^{-i}\mathcal{H}om_Y(Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X, \mathscr{O}_Y)}_{\text{Grothendieck duality}} \cong \underbrace{(R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X)^*}_{\substack{R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X \text{ is locally free, hence the ad-} \\ \text{equate spectral squence degenerates}}}$$

☐

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.3, the statements on $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ and $R^{-i} \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ are equivalent indeed. By [KK10, Theorem 7.8], $R^i f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is combatible with arbitrary base-change. Furthermore, since nefness is decided on curves, we may assume that Y is a smooth curve. However, then using Lemma 4.3 again, Proposition 4.2 concludes our proof. ☐

Corollary 4.4. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a family of stable varieties, then $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef, and equivalently $R^{-1} f_* \omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}$ is a nef vector bundle.*

Proof. By [KK10, Theorem 1.4] and by the definition of stable family, X is a flat, projective family of S_2, Du Bois schemes of pure dimension n. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 yields the statement of the corollary. ☐

REMARK 4.5. One would be tempted to use directly the available semipositivity results for reducible fiber spaces [FF12], [Kaw09] to prove Theorem 4.1. However, the author does not see a way of doing it, due to certain assumptions on the strata and monodromies in [FF12] and [Kaw09]. Instead, we use an injectivity theorem for simple normal crossing varieties by Fujino [Fuj09, Theorem 2.38], and combine it with a description of Du Bois singularities by Schwede [Sch07, Theorem 4.6].

The two main ingredients in proving Proposition 4.2 are the following. First, using the two restults mentioned in Remark 4.5, we show in Section 4.B the following theorem and corollary.

Theorem 4.6. *If X is a projective, Du Bois scheme, $N > 0$ an integer, $\mathscr{L}$ a line bundle on X, such that $\mathscr{L}^N$ is globally generated and F a general effective divisor of $\mathscr{L}^N$, then the natrual map*

$$H^i(X, \omega^{\bullet}_X \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to H^i(X, \omega^{\bullet}_X \otimes \mathscr{L}(F)) \tag{4.6.a}$$

is injective.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a flat, projective Du Bois family over a smooth projective curve, $y_0 \in Y$ and $N > 0$ such that $|N X_{y_0}|$ is base-point free. Then for any i, $R^i f_*(\omega^{\bullet}_{X/Y}) \otimes \omega_Y((N+1)y_0)$ is generically globally generated.*

Second, in Section 4.C, we show the following decomposition result, in the spirit of the celebrated article of Kollár [Kol86].

Theorem 4.8. *Let $n > 1$ and $n \geq d \geq 2$ be arbitrary integers and $f : X \to Y$ a flat projective morphism with connected fibers, such that X is a reduced scheme of pure dimension n and Y a* *smooth curve. Furthermore, assume either that X is S_d or that $d = 2$. Then*

$$Rf_*\omega_X^\bullet \cong R^{\leq -d}f_*(\omega_X^\bullet) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i>-d} R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet[-i] \right). \tag{4.8.a}$$

4.A. *The proof of semi-positivity*

Here we prove Proposition 4.2, assuming Corollary 4.7 and Theorem 4.8, which will be showed in Sections 4.B and 4.C, respectively. Since $\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet$ is the main object of Proposition 4.2 for fibrations $X \to Y$ that are not necessarily Cohen-Macaulay, we need the following technical lemma. The most important consequence is stated in Lemma 4.10, a formula relating the relative and absolute dualizing complexes. It turns out that, at least over Gorenstein bases, nothing surprising happens.

Lemma 4.9. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat, projective morphism between projective schemes, then for every $\mathscr{C}^\bullet \in D(X)$,*

$$f^!(\mathscr{C}^\bullet) \cong Lf^*(\mathscr{C}^\bullet) \otimes_L f^!\mathscr{O}_Y.$$

Proof. For a projective morphism f, Neeman's [Nee96] and Hartshorne's definition [Har66] of $f^!$ agree, since both are right adjoint functors of Rf_*. Hence we may use the results of [Nee96] to prove the lemma. By [Nee96, Theorem 5.4], it is enough to show that $f^!$ commutes with coproducts. Fix an ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on X. By the discussion of [Nee96, Example 1.10] for every $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{\mathscr{L}^m[n] | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m > M\}$ is a compact generating set for $D(X)$. Fix M such that $H^i(X_y, \mathscr{L}^m) = 0$ for all $m > M$ and all $y \in Y$. Then for every $m > M$, $Rf_*(\mathscr{L}^m[n])$ is supported only in cohomological degree $-n$ and furthermore with locally free cohomology sheaf according to [Har77, Theorem 12.11]. In particular, it is a compact object of $D(Y)$ [Nee96, Example 1.10] (to be precise in [Nee96, Example 1.10], it is only stated that line bundles are compact, but verbatim the same proof works for a locally free sheaves, by replacing inverse with dual). Hence $Rf_*(\mathscr{F})$ is compact for every element $\mathscr{F}$ of the generating set $\{\mathscr{L}^m[n] | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m > M\}$ of $D(X)$. Therefore, by [Nee96, Theorem 5.1] $f^!$ commutes with coproduct, which finishes our proof. ☐

Lemma 4.10. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat projective morphism between projective schemes with Gorenstein base of pure dimension d, then*

$$\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet \otimes f^*\omega_Y[d] \cong \omega_X^\bullet$$

Proof.

$$\omega_X^\bullet \cong f^!\omega_Y^\bullet \cong f^!\omega_Y[d] \cong \underbrace{f^!\mathscr{O}_Y \otimes f^*\omega_Y[d]}_{\text{Lemma 4.9 and flatness of } f \text{ and } \omega_Y} \cong \omega_{X/Y}^\bullet \otimes f^*\omega_Y[d]$$

☐

We need a third lemma as well about the behavior of relative dualizing complexes. However, for that some further notations is needed as well.

Notation 4.11. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of schemes, define

$$X_Y^m := \underbrace{X \times_Y X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

and $f^m_Y : X^m_Y \to Y$ the base morphism. In most cases, when Y is obvious from the context, we omit Y from our notation. We denote then the i-th projection morphisms $X^m \to X$ by p_i.

Lemma 4.12. *Using Notation 4.11, if $f : X \to Y$ is a flat projective morphism of projective schemes, then*

$$\omega^\bullet_{X^m/Y} \cong \underset{L}{\bigotimes}{}^{m}_{i=1} Lp_i^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y}.$$

Proof. The statement is vacuous for $m = 1$. For $m > 1$ we prove by induction. By the inductional hypothesis

$$\omega^\bullet_{X^{m-1}/Y} \cong \underset{L}{\bigotimes}{}^{m-1}_{i=1} L\overline{p}_i^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y}, \tag{4.12.a}$$

where $\overline{p}_i$ is the i-th projection $X^{m-1} \to X$. Let $q : X^m \to X^{m-1}$ be the projection on the first $m-1$ factors. Then the following computation concludes our proof.

$$\omega^\bullet_{X^m/Y} \cong \underbrace{Lq^* \omega^\bullet_{X^{m-1}/Y} \otimes_L \omega^\bullet_{X^m/X^{m-1}}}_{\text{Lemma 4.9}} \cong \underbrace{Lq^* \left(\underset{L}{\bigotimes}{}^{m-1}_{i=1} L\overline{p}_i^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y} \right) \otimes_L \omega^\bullet_{X^m/X^{m-1}}}_{\text{(4.12.a)}}$$

$$\cong \underbrace{\left(\underset{L}{\bigotimes}{}^{m-1}_{i=1} Lp_i^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y} \right) \otimes_L Lp_n^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y}}_{Lq^* L\overline{p}_i^* \cong Lp_i^* \text{ and flat base change [Har66, Theorem 8.7.5]}} \cong \underset{L}{\bigotimes}{}^{m}_{i=1} Lp_i^* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y}.$$

□

Having finished the lemmas about the relative dualizing complex, we need two other auxiliary lemmas used in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Lemma 4.13. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is a vector bundle on a smooth curve Y and $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle such that for every $m > 0$, $S^m(\mathscr{F}) \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is generically globally generated, then $\mathscr{F}$ is nef.*

Proof. Take a finite cover $\tau : Z \to Y$ by a smooth curve and a quotient line bundle $\mathscr{E}$ of $\tau^*\mathscr{F}$. Since $S^m(\mathscr{F}) \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is generically globally generated, so is $S^m(\tau^*\mathscr{F}) \otimes \tau^*\mathscr{L}$ and hence $\mathscr{E}^m \otimes \tau^*\mathscr{L}$ as well. Therefore $m \deg(\mathscr{E}) + \deg(\tau^* sL) \geq 0$ for all $m > 0$. In particular then $\deg(\mathscr{E}) \geq 0$. Since this is true for arbitrary τ and $\mathscr{E}$,$\mathscr{F}$ is nef indeed. □

Proof of Proposition 4.2. If the fibers of f are not assumed to be S_d then set $d := 2$. This way we can treat the S_d and the non-S_d cases uniformly. We have to prove in both cases that $R^{-i} f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})$ is nef for $i < d$. Since the fibers of f are reduced, so is X. By flatness and [Har77, Corollary III.9.6], X is also of pure dimension $n + 1$. Furthermore, if $d > 2$, then by [PS12, Lemma 4.2], X is S_d. Therefore, Theorem 4.8 applies. Also, by Lemma 4.10 we may replace $\omega^\bullet_X$ in the statement of Theorem 4.8 by $\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}$ if we also shift the indices. That is, for all $i < d$ the following holds.

$$Rf_* \omega^\bullet_{X/Y} \cong R^{\leq -i} f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l > -i} R^l f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}[-l] \right) \tag{4.13.a}$$

Fix integers $m > 0$ and $i < d$. Consider the following stream of isomorphisms and surjections, using Notation 4.11.

(4.13.b)

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-im}(f^m)_*(\omega^\bullet_{X^m/Y}) &\cong \underbrace{R^{-im}(f^m)_*\left(\bigotimes_L{}_{i=1}^{m} Lp_i^*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})\right)}_{\text{Lemma 4.12}} \\ &\cong \underbrace{h^{-im}\left(\bigotimes_L{}_{j=1}^{m} Rf_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})\right)}_{\text{Künneth formula}} \\ &\cong \underbrace{h^{-im}\left(\bigotimes_L{}_{j=1}^{m}\left(R^{\leq -i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{l>-i} R^l f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}[-l])\right)\right)\right)}_{\text{(4.13.a)}} \\ &\twoheadrightarrow h^{-im}\left(\bigotimes_L{}_{j=1}^{m} R^{\leq -i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})\right) \\ &\cong \underbrace{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} R^{-i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})}_{\substack{\bigotimes_L \text{ is left derived, and } R^{-i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y}) \\ \text{is the highest non-zero cohomology} \\ \text{sheaf of } R^{\leq -i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})}} \\ &\twoheadrightarrow S^m(R^{-i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})) \end{aligned}$$

Fix any $y_0 \in Y$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $|Ny_0|$ is base-point free. By Corollary 4.7, $R^{-im}(f^m)_*(\omega^\bullet_{X^m/Y})\otimes\omega_Y((N+1)$ is generically globally generated. Hence by (4.13.b), So is $S^m(R^{-i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})) \otimes \omega_Y((N+1)y_0)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.13, $R^{-i}f_*(\omega^\bullet_{X/Y})$ is nef for every $i < d$, which concludes our proof. $\square$

4.B. *Injectivity and surjectivity for Du Bois schemes*

Here we prove Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.7. Theorem 4.6 is also well known for rational singularities. However, proving it for Du Bois singularities is by far a non-trivial extension. It is a product of the work of many, most notably Fujino [Fuj09, Theorem 2.38] and Schwede [Sch07, Theorem 4.6]. The main trick of the proof was communicated to the author by Karl Schwede.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Consider a closed embedding of X into a smooth scheme Y, and let $\rho : Z \to Y$ be an embedded log-resolution of (Y, X), which is isomorphism on $Y \setminus X$. Set $E := \rho^{-1}(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\pi := \rho|_E$. By [Sch07, Theorem 4.6], the natural homomorphism $\mathscr{O}_X \to R\pi_*\mathscr{O}_E$ is quasi-isomorphism. This yields the following isomorphisms.

(4.13.c) $$R\pi_*\omega^\bullet_E \cong R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om_E(\mathscr{O}_E, \omega^\bullet_E) \cong \underbrace{R\mathcal{H}om_X(R\pi_*\mathscr{O}_E, \omega^\bullet_X)}_{\text{Grothendieck-duality}} \cong \underbrace{\omega^\bullet_X}_{\text{[Sch07, Theorem 4.6]}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{i+\dim E}(E,\omega_E\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}) &\cong \underbrace{H^i(E,\omega_E^\bullet\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L})}_{E \text{ Gorenstein, hence } \omega_E^\bullet\cong\omega_E[\dim E]} \\ &\cong \underbrace{H^i(Y,R\pi_*(\omega_E^\bullet\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}))}_{\text{Grothendieck spectral sequence}} \cong \underbrace{H^i(Y,R\pi_*(\omega_E^\bullet)\otimes\mathscr{L})}_{\text{projection formula}} \cong \underbrace{H^i(\omega_X^\bullet\otimes\mathscr{L})}_{\text{(4.13.c)}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13.d}$$

Furthermore, by replacing $\mathscr{L}$ in (4.13.d) with $\mathscr{L}(F)$, one obtains that

$$H^{i+\dim E}(E,\omega_E\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}(F))\cong H^i(\omega_X^\bullet\otimes\mathscr{L}(F)), \tag{4.13.e}$$

and (4.13.d) and (4.13.e) are compatible with the natural maps induced by $\mathscr{L}\to\mathscr{L}(F)$. Hence, by setting $j=i+\dim E$, it is enough to prove that the natural homomorphisms

$$H^j(E,\omega_E\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L})\to H^j(E,\omega_E\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}(F)) \tag{4.13.f}$$

are injective for every j. Note at this point that since π^*F is a general member of a base-point free linear system, it does not contain any strata of E. In particular then [Fuj09, Theorem 2.38] (setting $X:=E$, $D':=0$, $D:=\pi^*F$, H be any divisor such that $\mathscr{O}_E(H)\cong\pi^*\mathscr{L}$, $t:=N$, $B:=0$, $S:=0$) implies the injectivity of (4.13.f). ☐

To prove Corollary 4.7, we need two more lemmas.

Lemma 4.14. *If X is a quasi-projective scheme and H an effective Cartier divisor on it, then there is an adjunction exact triangle as follows.*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \omega_X^\bullet \arrow[r] & \omega_X^\bullet(H) \arrow[r] & \omega_H^\bullet[1] \arrow[r, "+1"] & {} \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. If $\iota:H\to X$ is the embedding morphism, then

$$\omega_H^\bullet\cong\iota^!\omega_X^\bullet=R\mathcal{H}om_H(\mathscr{O}_H,\iota^!\omega_X^\bullet)\cong\underbrace{R\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathscr{O}_H,\omega_X^\bullet)}_{\text{by Grothendieck duality}}.$$

Consider then the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_X(-H) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_X \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_H \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

and apply $R\mathcal{H}om_X(\_,\omega_X^\bullet)$ to it:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \omega_H^\bullet\cong R\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathscr{O}_H,\omega_X^\bullet) \arrow[r] & \omega_X^\bullet \arrow[r] & \omega_X^\bullet(H) \arrow[r, "+1"] & {} \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.14.a}$$

Rotating (4.14.a) yields the statement of the lemma. ☐

Lemma 4.15. *Let $f:X\to Y$ be a flat, projective Du Bois family over a smooth projective curve, $y_0\in Y$, $N>0$ such that $|NX_{y_0}|$ is base-point free and $A\in|NX_{y_0}|$ a generic element. Then for any i and any $y\in Y$ such that $X_y\subseteq A$, the natural map α in the following diagram is surjective.*

(4.15.a)

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^i(X,\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet\otimes f^*\omega_Y((N+1)X_{y_0}))\cong H^i(X,\omega_X^\bullet(A+X_{y_0})[-1]) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "\alpha"'] & H^i(A,\omega_A^\bullet(X_{y_0}))\cong H^i(A,\omega_A^\bullet) \arrow[d] \\ & H^i(X_y,\omega_{X_y}^\bullet), \end{tikzcd}$$

Here the horizontal homomorphism is induced by the adjunction map $\omega_X^\bullet(A)[-1] \to \omega_A^\bullet$ of Lemma 4.14.

Proof. The vertical arrow of (4.15.a) is surjective because X_y is a component of A. Therefore, it is enough to prove that the horizontal arrow of (4.15.a) is surjective. However, then equivalently we may also show that

(4.15.b) $$H^i(X, \omega_X^\bullet(X_{y_0})[-1]) \to H^i(X, \omega_X^\bullet(X_{y_0} + A)[-1])$$

is injective for all i. Note at this point that by [KS11, Main Theorem], X itself is Du Bois. Hence, (4.15.b) follows from Theorem 4.6. □

Proof of Corollary 4.7. For any $y \in Y$,
(4.15.c)
$$\dim_{k(y)}(R^i f_* \omega_{X/Y}^\bullet)_y = \underbrace{\dim_{k(y)}(R^{-i} f_* \mathscr{O}_X)_y}_{\text{Lemma 4.3}} = \underbrace{\dim_{k(y)} H^{-i}(X_y, \mathscr{O}_{X_y})}_{\text{[KK10, Theorem 7.8]}} \cong \underbrace{\dim_{k(y)} H^i(X_y, \omega_{X_y}^\bullet)}_{\text{Grothendieck duality}}.$$

Consider then the following diagram for a generic closed point $y \in Y$.
(4.15.d)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(Y, R^i f_*(\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet) \otimes \omega_Y((N+1)y_0)) \arrow[r, "\beta"] & R^i f_*(\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet) \otimes \omega_Y((N+1)y_0)_y \arrow[r, two heads, "\gamma"] & H^i(X_y, \omega_{X_y}^\bullet) \\
H^i(X, \omega_{X/Y}^\bullet \otimes f^* \omega_Y((N+1)y_0)) \arrow[u] \arrow[urr, two heads, "\alpha"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The arrow α is surjective by Lemma 4.15, and by (4.15.c) the two ends of γ have the same dimensions over k. Hence β also has to be surjective. This finishes our proof. □

4.C. *The proof of direct decomposition*

Here we show Theorem 4.8. First, the following two lemmas state certain preservations of properties by passing to generic hypersurfaces.

Lemma 4.16. *If X is a quasi-projective, S_d scheme of pure dimension n, then a generic hyperplane section is also S_d.*

Proof. Let H be a generic hyperplane section of X. Since X is S_d, $\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{X,P} \geq \min\{d, \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P}\}$ for every $P \in X$. We want to prove that $\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{H,P} \geq \min\{d, \dim \mathscr{O}_{H,P}\}$ for every $P \in H$. By [Pat10b, Fact 3.2] it is enough to show that, H avoids the points P such that

$$\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{X,P} < \min\{d+1, \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P}\}.$$

or equivalently, using that $\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{X,P} \geq \min\{d, \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P}\}$, the points P such that

(4.16.a) $$\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_{X,P} = d \text{ and } \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P} > d.$$

This avoidance is guaranteed if there are only finitely many point $P \in X$, satsifying (4.16.a). Assume the contrary. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the set of all points $P \in X$ satisfying (4.16.a). Then there is a fixed value $r > d$ of $\dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P}$, such that there are inifnitely many points $P \in \mathscr{B}$ with $r = \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P}$. Let $\mathscr{B}_r$ be the set of these points. Since $\mathscr{B}_r$ is infinite, there is a $r-1$ codimensional point Q in the closure of it. Fix, this Q.

Note now that by [Pat10b, Proposition 3.3.6], for all $P \in \mathscr{B}_r$, $h^i(\omega_X^\bullet)_P = 0$ for $i > -d-(n-r)$ and $h^i(\omega_X^\bullet)_P \neq 0$ for $i = -d-(n-r)$. In particular then the same holds for Q. That is,

$$h^i(\omega_X^\bullet)_Q = 0 \text{ for all } i > -d-(n-r) = -(d-1)-(n-r+1)$$

and

$$h^i(\omega_X^\bullet)_Q \neq 0 \text{ for } i = -d-(n-r) = -(d-1)-(n-r+1)$$

Then using [Pat10b, Proposition 3.3.6] again, yields that $\operatorname{depth} \mathscr{O}_Q = d-1$. However, since $\dim \mathscr{O}_{X,Q} = \dim \mathscr{O}_{X,P} - 1 = r-1 > d-1$, this implies that X is not S_d. This is a contradiction. Hence there are finitely many points in $\mathscr{B}$, which concludes the proof of the lemma. ☐

Lemma 4.17. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a flat quasi-projective morphism, such that X is a S_1 scheme of pure dimension $n \geq 2$, Y a smooth curve, and H is a general hyperplane section, then the induced morphism $g : H \to Y$ is flat as well or equivalently H does not contain any component of any fiber of f.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.16, H is S_1, hence all its associated points are generic points. Therefore, by [Har77, Proposition III.9.7], H is flat if and only if all its components dominate Y. However, since $n \geq 2$, the restriction of H to every component of X is irreducible [Har77, Exercise III.11.3]. Using genericity of H once more, we obtain that every component of H dominates Y indeed. ☐

Having finished the preparatory lemmas, we prove in Proposition 4.18 the direct sum decomposition for $Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet)$ when $\dim(X/Y) = 1$.

Proposition 4.18. *If $f : X \to Y$ a flat projective morphism with connected fibers, such that X is a reduced S_1 scheme of pure dimension 2 and Y is a smooth curve, then $Rf_*\omega_X^\bullet \cong R^{-2}f_*\omega_X^\bullet \oplus R^{-1}f_*\omega_X^\bullet$.*

Proof. First, we show that $Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X \cong f_*\mathscr{O}_X \oplus R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X[-1]$. By flatness and [Har77, Corollary 9.6], $X \to Y$ has one dimensional fibers. Therefore by [Har77, Corollary 11.2], $h^i(Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $i > 1$. Hence, it is enough to show that the embedding $\iota : f_*\mathscr{O}_X \to Rf_*X$ of the lowest cohomology sheaf splits. Choose a general hyperplane $H \subseteq X$. By Lemma 4.16, H is S_1, and hence Cohen-Macaualy. Consider then the following diagram in $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{O}_Y \arrow[r, "\cong", "j"'] & f_*\mathscr{O}_X \arrow[d, "\iota"] \\
f_*\mathscr{O}_H \arrow[u, "\mathrm{tr}"] & Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X, \arrow[l, "\alpha"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

- j is the natural isomorphism that follows from normality of Y and from the connected fibers of f,
- α is the derived pushforward of $\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_H$, using that H is finite over Y and hence $Rf_*\mathscr{O}_H \cong f_*\mathscr{O}_H$,
- tr is the usual trace map, guaranteed by H being Cohen-Macaulay, and hence being flat over Y [Har77, Exercise III.10.9], [KM98, Definition 5.6].

Since $\alpha \circ \iota$ is the pushforward of the natural map $\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_H$ and j is the natural inclusion $\mathscr{O}_Y \to f_*\mathscr{O}_X$, $\alpha \circ \iota \circ j$ is the natural inclusion $\mathscr{O}_Y \to f_*\mathscr{O}_H$ associated to $f|_H$. Hence, the trace map of $f|_H$ splits it, i.e., $\operatorname{tr}\circ\alpha\circ\iota\circ j=\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{O}_Y}$. Therefore, $j\circ\operatorname{tr}\circ\alpha$ splits $\iota : f_*\mathscr{O}_X\to Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X$ indeed.

We have shown that $Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X\cong f_*\mathscr{O}_X\oplus R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X[-1]$. Hence,

$$Rf_*\omega_X^\bullet \cong Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathscr{O}_X,\omega_X^\bullet) \cong \underbrace{R\mathcal{H}om_Y(Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X,\omega_Y^\bullet)}_{\text{Grothendieck duality}} \cong \underbrace{R\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathscr{O}_X\oplus R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X[-1],\omega_Y^\bullet)}_{Rf_*\mathscr{O}_X\cong f_*\mathscr{O}_X\oplus R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X[-1]}$$

$$\cong \underbrace{R\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathscr{O}_X\oplus R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X[-1],\omega_Y[1])}_{Y\text{ is Gorenstein of dimension one}} \cong \underbrace{\mathcal{H}om_Y(R^1f_*\mathscr{O}_X,\omega_Y)[2]\oplus\mathcal{H}om_Y(f_*\mathscr{O}_X,\omega_Y)[1]}_{\omega_Y\text{ is a line bundle}}$$

Hence $Rf_*\omega_X^\bullet$ splits into the direct sum of its -2-th and -1-th cohomology sheaves, which concludes our proof. ☐

We show the direct sum decomposition for $Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}^\bullet)$ when $\dim(X/Y)>1$ by induction on dimension. Some of the inductional arguments are isolated in the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.19. *Let $n>2$ and $n\geq d\geq 2$ be arbitrary integers and $f : X\to Y$ a flat projective morphism with connected fibers, such that X is a reduced, S_d scheme of pure dimension n and Y a smooth curve. Let H be a generic hyperplane section. Then, $g : H\to Y$ is a flat projective morphism with connected fibers, such that H is a reduced, S_d scheme of pure dimension $n-1$.*

Proof. We check the properties of H one by one.

- g is flat by Lemma 4.17.
- Since H is general, it does not contain any component of X. Therefore, $\dim(H\cap X')=n-1$ for every component X' of X, and consequently H is of pure dimension $n-1$.
- To prove, that H_y is connected, it is enough to prove it for a generic fiber, since then the Stein-factorization of g is a finite birational extension of Y, which has to be Y itself by the normality of Y. However, for generic y, H_y is a generic hyperplane section of X_y, which then is connected, because $\dim X_y\geq 2$ [Har77, Exercise III.11.3].
- H is S_d by Lemma 4.16.

☐

Lemma 4.20. *Let $n>2$, $n\geq d\geq 2$ be arbitrary and $f : X\to Y$ a flat projective morphism with connected fibers, such that X is a reduced, S_d scheme of pure dimension n and Y is a smooth curve. Let H be a generic hypersurface of large enough degree and $g : H\to Y$ the induced morphism. If*

$$Rg_*\omega_H^\bullet\cong R^{\leq -d}g_*\omega_H^\bullet\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i>-d}R^if_*\omega_H^\bullet[-i]\right), \tag{4.20.a}$$

inducing indentity on cohomology sheaves, then

$$Rf_*\omega_X^\bullet\cong R^{\leq -d}f_*\omega_X^\bullet\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i>-d}R^if_*\omega_X^\bullet[-i]\right). \tag{4.20.b}$$

also inducing indentity on cohomology sheaves.

Proof. Since H is of high enough degree, $H^j(X, h^i(\omega_X^\bullet(H))) = 0$ for all i and every $j > 0$. In particular, $R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet(H) \cong f_* h^i(\omega_X^\bullet(H))$ for every i. Therefore, by Lemma 4.16 and [Pat10b, Proposition 3.3.6],

$$R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet(H) \text{ is zero for } i > -d. \tag{4.20.c}$$

Consider then the exact triangle of Lemma 4.14, rotate it, shift it, and push it forward.

$$Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet(H)[-1] \longrightarrow Rf_* \omega_H^\bullet \longrightarrow Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet \xrightarrow{+1} \tag{4.20.d}$$

By (4.20.c), this induces the following isomorphisms.
(4.20.e)

$$R^i f_* \omega_H^\bullet \cong R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet \text{ if } i > 1-d, \text{ and } R^{1-d} f_* \omega_X^\bullet \cong R^{1-d} f_* \omega_H^\bullet \Big/ \operatorname{im}(R^{-d} f_* \omega_X^\bullet(H) \to R^{1-d} f_* \omega_H^\bullet)$$

To prove (4.20.b), it is enough to exhibit a homomorphism

$$R^{\leq -d} f_* \omega_X^\bullet \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i > -d} R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet[-i] \right) \to Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet,$$

which is identity on every cohomology sheaf. There is a natural homomorphism $R^{\leq -d} f_* \omega_X^\bullet \to Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet$ which is identity on the cohomology sheaves of degree at most $-d$. Hence, it is enough to exhibit a homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i > -d} R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet[-i] \to Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet,$$

which is identity on cohomology sheaves of degree greater than $-d$. For that consider the following composition: the inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{i > -d} R^i f_* \omega_H^\bullet[-i] \hookrightarrow R^{\leq -d} g_* \omega_H^\bullet \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i > -d} R^i f_* \omega_H^\bullet[-i] \right),$$

the isomorphism (4.20.a), and finally the natural homomorphism $Rf_* \omega_H^\bullet \to Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet$ given by (4.20.d). Call this composition ϕ. According to (4.20.e),

$$\ker \phi = \operatorname{im}(R^{-d} f_* \omega_X^\bullet(H) \to R^{1-d} f_* \omega_H^\bullet)[d-1].$$

Hence, $\operatorname{im} \phi$ yields a natural map

$$\bigoplus_{i > -d} R^i f_* \omega_X^\bullet[-i] \cong \operatorname{im} \phi \to Rf_* \omega_X^\bullet$$

inducing indentity on cohomology sheaves. This finishes our proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 4.8. First, we show the case when X is not assumed to be S_d. Remember that then $d = 2$. By Grothendieck duality and Lemma 4.3, it is enough to show that $Rf_* \mathscr{O}_X \cong f_* \mathscr{O}_X \oplus R^{\geq 1} f_* \mathscr{O}_X$. Since the fibers of f are connected, and Y is normal, $f_* \mathscr{O}_X \cong \mathscr{O}_Y$. Hence it is enough to show that the natural inclusion $\mathscr{O}_Y \to Rf_* \mathscr{O}_X$ splits. This is shown in [Bha10, Theorem 4.1.3].

Second, we show the case when X is assumed to be S_d. For $n = 2$, the statement was shown in Proposition 4.18. We show the $n > 1$ cases by induction. Assume that the statement is known for n replaced by $n-1$. Choose a general hypersurface H of X of large enough degree. By Lemma

4.19, all the conditions assumed for X hold for H. Hence, we may assume that (4.8.a) holds for all X replaced by H. However then Lemma 4.20 concludes our proof. ☐

5. VANISHING

Disregarding issues about passing to index-one covers, by Proposition 3.20 we need to show a vanishing of $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$ for stable families $f : X \to Y$ over stable bases. By Theorem 4.1, $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X$ is an anti-nef vector bundle. Hence we are left to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(\Omega_Y, \mathscr{E}) = 0$ for every anti-nef vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$. In fact, we prove slightly stronger, we allow $\mathscr{E}$ to be weakly negative. This notion is more technical than anti-nef, but it is also considerably less restrictive. Since during the proof of Theorem 5.4 we are forced to pass to it, we include it in the statement as well. The definition is as follows.

Definition 5.1. [Vie83, Definition 1.2] Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a coherent sheaf on a S_2, quasi-projective scheme X. Assume furthermore that either

(a) $\mathscr{E}$ is locally free, or
(b) $\mathscr{E}$ is torsion free and X is normal.

Then,

1. in the case of assumption *(a)*, $\mathscr{E}$ is *weakly positive* over an open set $U \subseteq X$, if for some (or equivalently every [Vie95, Lemma 2.14.a]) ample line bundle $\mathscr{A}$, for any integer $a > 0$ there is an integer $b > 0$, such that $S^{ab}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathscr{A}^b$ is globally generated over U,
2. in the case of assumption *(b)*, $\mathscr{E}$ is weakly positive over an open set $U \subseteq X$, if $U \subseteq V$ and $\mathscr{E}|_V$ is weakly positive over $V \cap U$, where $V \subseteq X$ is the largest open set where $\mathscr{E}$ is locally free,
3. $\mathscr{E}$ is weakly positive if it is weakly positive over some dense open set (for either assumptions),
4. $\mathscr{E}$ is *weakly negative* if $\mathscr{E}^*$ is weakly positive.

REMARK 5.2. Recall that, using the notations of Definition 5.1, a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ is pseudo-effective if for every a, there is a b, such that $H^0(X, \mathscr{L}^{ab} \otimes \mathscr{A}^b) \neq 0$. Hence every weakly positive line bundle is pseudo-effective.

REMARK 5.3. By [Vie95, Proposition 2.9] a nef vector bundle is weakly positive.

The main result of the section is then as follows.

Theorem 5.4. *If X is a stable variety, and $\mathscr{E}$ a weakly-negative vector bundle on X, then* $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) = \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbb{L}_X, \mathscr{E}) = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 5.4 consists of two main parts. First, in Theorem 5.6, we show a generalization of a special case of Bogomolov Sommese vanishing for log-canonical spaces [GKKP11, Theorem 7.2]. In particular, Theorem 5.6 implies Theorem 5.4 when X is irreducible. The second ingredient is Lemma 5.8 that allows us to conclude the reducible case using Theorem 5.6.

Lemma 5.5. *If $\mathscr{M}$ is a weakly positive and $\mathscr{L}$ is a big line bundle on a smooth projective variety X, then $\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is big.*

Proof. Since $\mathscr{M}$ is weakly positive, by Remark 5.2 it is also pseudo-effective, and hence by [Pat12, Lemma 2.3] $\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is big. ☐

Theorem 5.6 uses the notation of reflexive tensor products (i.e., $[\otimes]$), reflexive differentials and (reflexive) $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles. We refer to Section 1.E for the precise definitions.

Theorem 5.6. *If X is a projective variety of dimension n, $D \geq 0$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that (X, D) is log canonical, $\mathscr{L}$ an anti-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle, $\mathscr{E}$ a weakly-negative vector bundle, then*

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[n-1]}(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)[\otimes]\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}) = 0. \tag{5.6.a}$$

REMARK 5.7. Theorem 5.6 generalizes a special case of the Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing for lc spaces, i.e., [GKKP11, Theorem 7.2]. It is natural to ask whether there is a similar generalization of the general case. That is, whether $n-1$ in the statement of Theorem 5.6 could be replaced by any $p < n$. The author has no answer to this question, however from the proof of Theorem 5.6 one can see that it would be enough to have a Bogomolov-Sommese type vanishing for $H^0(X, (\wedge^j \Omega^i_X(\log D)) \otimes \mathscr{L})$, where (X, D) is log-smooth, and $\kappa(\mathscr{L}) > n - i$. The author thinks this would be an interesting question in itself.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. First, we show that we may assume that $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle. Choose an integer N, so that $\mathscr{L}^{[-N]}$ is a very ample line bundle, and a general section $s \in \mathscr{L}^{[-N]}$. Let $\tau : X' \to X$ be the N-degree cyclic cover of X given by $\mathscr{L}^*$ and s. With other words

$$X' := \operatorname{Spec}_X \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathscr{L}^{[i]} \right),$$

where the algebra structure is given by the natural tensor operations and the section s. Define $D' := \tau^*(D)$. Note that τ is ramified over an irreducible divisor B determined by s, which avoids the general point of any component of D. Hence, by [KM98, Lemma 5.17.2 and Proposition 5.20], (X', D') is log canonical. Furthermore $\mathscr{L}' = \tau^{[*]}\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle. If we knew the statement of the theorem for $\mathscr{L}$ being a line bundle, then we would have

$$H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^{[n-1]}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor) \otimes \mathscr{L}' \otimes \tau^*\mathscr{E}) = 0 \tag{5.7.a}$$

Note, here we used that the pullback of a weakly-negative vector bundle via a finite map is weakly negative [Vie95, Proposition 2.22.1]. Let $U \subseteq X$ be the open locus of X where both X and $D + B$ are smooth and define $U' := \tau^{-1}(U)$. Note first that $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle over U, second that U' and $D'|_{U'}$ are also smooth and third that $\operatorname{codim}_X X \setminus U \geq 2$. That is, (5.7.a) would imply

(5.7.b)
$$0 = \underbrace{H^0(U', \Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor) \otimes (\tau|_{U'})^*(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}))}_{\text{[Har94, Proposition 1.11] and (5.7.a)}} = \underbrace{H^0(U, ((\tau|_{U'})_*\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor)) \otimes \mathscr{L}|_U \otimes \mathscr{E}|_U)}_{\text{projection formula}}.$$

Note at this point that since both $D|_U$ and $B|_U$ are smooth, by [EV92, Lemma 3.16.a]

$$(\tau|_{U'})^*\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor + B) \cong \Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor + \tau^* B). \tag{5.7.c}$$

Hence,

$$(5.7.d)\quad (\tau|_{U'})_*\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor+\tau^*B)\cong\underbrace{(\tau|_{U'})_*(\tau|_{U'})^*\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor+B)}_{\text{(5.7.c)}}$$

$$\cong\underbrace{\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor+B)\otimes(\tau|_{U'})_*\mathscr{O}_{U'}}_{\text{projection formula}}\cong\bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1}\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor+B)\otimes\mathscr{L}|^i_U.$$

The natural embedding $\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor)\hookrightarrow\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor+\tau^*B)$ and (5.7.d) yields an embedding

$$\iota:(\tau|_{U'})^*\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor)\hookrightarrow\bigoplus_{i=0}^{N-1}\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor+B)\otimes\mathscr{L}|^i_U.$$

We claim that

$$(5.7.e)\qquad \operatorname{im}\iota=\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N-1}\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor+B)\otimes\mathscr{L}|^i_U\right).$$

Indeed, (5.7.e) is a local question, so since $U\cap\operatorname{Supp}B\cap\operatorname{Supp}\lfloor D\rfloor=\emptyset$ it is enough to prove it over $U\setminus\operatorname{Supp}B$ and $U\setminus\operatorname{Supp}\lfloor D\rfloor$ separately. That is, we may assume that either $B=0$ or $D=0$. In the former case (5.7.d) and in the latter [EV92, Lemma 3.16.d] proves (5.7.e). Therefore, $(\tau|_{U'})_*\Omega^{n-1}_{U'}(\log\lfloor D'\rfloor)$ has a direct factor isomorphic to $\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)$. Hence, (5.7.b) implies that

$$0=H^0(U,\Omega^{n-1}_U(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)\otimes\mathscr{L}|_U\otimes\mathscr{E}|_U)=\underbrace{H^0(X,\Omega^{[n-1]}_X(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)[\otimes]\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{E})}_{\text{[Har94, Proposition 1.11]}}.$$

Therefore, we may assume indeed that $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle.

Choose now a log-resolution $\pi:Y\to X$ of (X,D). Let $\widetilde{D}$ be the biggest reduced divisor in π^{-1}(non-klt locus of (X,D)). Then

$$\begin{aligned}H^0(X,\Omega^{[n-1]}_X(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)[\otimes]\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{E})&\cong\underbrace{H^0(X,\Omega^{[n-1]}_X(\log\lfloor D\rfloor)\otimes\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{E})}_{\mathscr{L}\text{ is assumed to be a line bundle}}\\&\cong\underbrace{H^0(X,\pi_*\Omega^{n-1}_Y(\log\widetilde{D})\otimes\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{E})}_{\text{[GKKP11, Theorem 1.5]}}\\&\cong\underbrace{H^0(Y,\Omega^{n-1}_Y(\log\widetilde{D})\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{E})}_{\text{projection formula}}\\&\cong\underbrace{H^0(Y,\Omega^1_Y(\log\widetilde{D})^*\otimes\omega_Y(\widetilde{D})\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{E})}_{\text{[Har77, Exetcise II.5.16.b]}}\\&\cong\underbrace{\operatorname{Hom}_Y(\Omega^1_Y(\log\widetilde{D}),\omega_Y(\widetilde{D})\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{E})}_{\text{[Har77, Exetcise II.5.1.b]}}\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that this group is not zero. Then there is a non-zero homomorphism

$$\phi:\Omega^1_Y(\log\widetilde{D})\to\omega_Y(\widetilde{D})\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{L}\otimes\pi^*\mathscr{E}$$

Define $r := \mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{im}\,\phi)$. Note that $1 \leq r \leq n$. Then

$$0 \neq \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^r_Y(\log \widetilde{D}), (\wedge^r(\mathrm{im}\,\phi))^{**}) \tag{5.7.f}$$

Define $\mathscr{K} := (\wedge^r(\mathrm{im}\,\phi))^{**} \otimes \omega_Y(\widetilde{D})^* \otimes \pi^*\mathscr{L}^*$, and note that since Y is smooth and $\mathscr{K}$ is reflexive of rank one, then $\mathscr{K}$ is a line bundle [Har80, Proposition 1.9]. Also note that there is an induced homomorphism $\mathscr{K} \to \pi^* \wedge^r \mathscr{E}$, which is an embedding generically, and hence globally as well since Y is integral. In particular, then $\mathscr{K}$ is weakly-negative [Vie83, Lemma 1.4.1]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq {} & \underbrace{\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^r_Y(\log \widetilde{D}), \omega_Y(\widetilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{K})}_{\text{(5.7.f) and the definition of } \mathscr{K}} \\ & \cong \underbrace{H^0(Y, \Omega^r_Y(\log \widetilde{D})^* \otimes \omega_Y(\widetilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{K})}_{\text{[Har77, Exercise II.5.1.b]}} \\ & \cong \underbrace{H^0(Y, \Omega^{n-r}_Y(\log \widetilde{D}) \otimes \pi^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{K})}_{\text{[Har77, Exercise II.5.1.b]}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.g}$$

However, $\pi^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{K} \cong (\pi^*\mathscr{L}^* \otimes \mathscr{K}^*)^*$, and then it is the dual of a big line bundle tensored with a weakly-positive line bundle. Hence, in fact, it is the dual of a big line bundle by Lemma 5.5. But then the last group in (5.7.g) is zero by the Bogomolov vanishing theorem [EV92, Corollary 6.9]. This is a contradiction. So, our assumption was false, which concludes our proof. ☐

The following lemma helps to deduce the non-normal case of Theorem 5.4 from Theorem 5.6. For the definition of demi-normal please consult Section 1.E.

Lemma 5.8. *If X is a quasi-projective, equidimensional, demi-normal scheme , and $\pi : \bar{X} \to X$ is its normalization with conductor divisor $D \subseteq X$ and $\bar{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$, then there is an inclusion*

$$\mathscr{T}_X \hookrightarrow \pi_*\mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D}).$$

(Here $\mathscr{T}_X := \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_X)$.)

Proof. Let U be the open set of X containing the smooth and double normal crossing points. Define $\bar{U} := \pi^{-1}(U)$. Both $\mathscr{T}_X$ and $\mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D})$ are reflexive, or equivalently S_2, by [Har94, Corollary 1.8]. Then so is $\pi_*\mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D})$ by [KM98, Proposition 5.4]. So, by [Har94, Proposition 1.11] it is enough to prove that there is a natural inclusion

$$\mathscr{T}_U \hookrightarrow \pi_*\mathscr{T}_{\bar{U}}(-\log \bar{D}). \tag{5.8.a}$$

With other words we may assume that X contains only smooth and double normal crossing points. Since π is projective, by the GAGA principle, we may work in the analytic topology and with analytified sheaves from now on. By abuse of notation the analytifications and the algebraic coherent sheaves are denoted the same way. Consider the following commutative diagram.

(5.8.b)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{T}_X \arrow[r, hook, "\alpha"] & \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\bar{X}}) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\pi^*\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) & \pi_*\mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}} \arrow[l, hook'] \\ & & \pi_*\mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D}) \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[ul, "\beta"] \end{tikzcd}$$

We are going to prove (5.8.a) by showing that

$$\operatorname{im}\alpha \subseteq \operatorname{im}\beta. \tag{5.8.c}$$

Since π is an isomorphism over any smooth point, (5.8.c) holds at those points. So, we need to show that (5.8.c) holds also at every double normal crossing point. In the rest of the proof we do this by a local computation.

Fix a double normal crossing point P. Then by replacing X with an analytic neighborhood of P, we may assume that

$$X = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n] \Big/ (xy) \right) \tag{5.8.d}$$

Define also $Y := \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and let $Z := \operatorname{Bl}_{x=y=0} Y$ with natural projection $\rho : Z \to Y$ and exceptional divisor E. Note that $\overline{X}$ can be realized as the strict transform of X in Z, which is the way we think about it for the rest of the proof. Consider then the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_Y|_X, \mathscr{O}_X) \arrow[d, hook] & \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_X) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_Y|_X, \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\cong"'] & \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\cong"'] \\
\pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\pi^*(\Omega_Y|_X), \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\cong"'] & \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\pi^*\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}((\rho^*\Omega_Y)|_{\overline{X}}, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) & \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\pi^*\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[l, hook'] \\
\pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\Omega_Z|_{\overline{X}}, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[u, hook] & \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\Omega_{\overline{X}}, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, hook] \\
\pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\Omega_Z(\log E)|_{\overline{X}}, \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[u, hook] & \pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\Omega_{\overline{X}}(\log \overline{D}), \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.8.e}$$

Because of the injective arrows in (5.8.e), it is enough to prove that the image of $\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_X)$ in $\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_Y|_X, \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}})$ is contained in the image of $\pi_*\mathcal{H}om_{\overline{X}}(\Omega_{\overline{X}}(\log \overline{D}), \mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}})$ in $\mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_Y|_X, \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}})$. According to Lemma 5.9, the first one is the $\mathscr{O}_X$ submodule generated by the maps

$$\begin{matrix} dx \mapsto x \\ dy \mapsto 0 \\ dz_i \mapsto 0 \end{matrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} dx \mapsto 0 \\ dy \mapsto y \\ dz_i \mapsto 0 \end{matrix} \text{ and } \begin{matrix} dx \mapsto 0 \\ dy \mapsto 0 \\ dz_i \mapsto 1 \end{matrix}$$

However, the second one is the $\pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}$ submodule, generated by exactly the same maps. Then the fact that $\mathscr{O}_X \subseteq \pi_*\mathscr{O}_{\overline{X}}$ concludes the proof. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let* $X := \operatorname{Spec}\left(k[x,y,z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}] \Big/ (xy) \right)$. *Then* $\mathscr{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_X)$ *is generated as an* $\mathscr{O}_X$ *module by the maps*

$$\begin{array}{l} dx \mapsto x \\ dy \mapsto 0 \\ dz_i \mapsto 0 \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{l} dx \mapsto 0 \\ dy \mapsto y \\ dz_i \mapsto 0 \end{array} \text{ and } \begin{array}{l} dx \mapsto 0 \\ dy \mapsto 0 \\ dz_i \mapsto 1 \end{array}.$$

Proof. The surjection

$$k[x,y,z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}] \to k[x,y,z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}] \Big/ xy$$

yields an embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y := \operatorname{Spec} k[x,y,z_1,\dots,z_{n-1}]$, and consequently an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_X(ydx + xdy) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_X dx \oplus \mathscr{O}_X dy \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathscr{O}_X dz_i \right) \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking dual exhibits $\mathscr{H}om_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{O}_X)$ as the kernel of the following map.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathscr{O}_X \partial_x \oplus \mathscr{O}_X \partial_y \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathscr{O}_X \partial_{z_i} \right) & \to & \mathscr{O}_X \\ \partial_x & \mapsto & y \\ \partial_y & \mapsto & x \\ \partial_{z_i} & \mapsto & 0, \end{array}$$

where the basis $\{\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_{z_1}, \dots, \partial_{z_{n-1}}\}$ of $\mathscr{H}om_Y(\Omega_Y|_X, \mathscr{O}_X)$ is the dual of the basis $\{dx, dy, dz_1, \dots, dz_{n-1}\}$ of $\Omega_Y|_X$. The generators of this kernel are $x\partial_x, y\partial_y, \partial_{z_1}, \dots, \partial_{z_{n-1}}$, which concludes our proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 5.4. First, *we claim that it is enough to show that* $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) = 0$. Indeed, there is an exact triangle

$$\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_X \longrightarrow \Omega_X \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Hence applying $\operatorname{Hom}(\_, \mathscr{E})$ gives the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_X, \mathscr{E}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}, \mathscr{E}),$$

where the last term is zero, since $\mathbb{L}_X^{\leq -1}$ is supported in negative, while $\mathscr{E}$ in zero cohomological degrees. This concludes our claim.

Now we show that $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) = 0$. Let $\pi : \bar{X} \to X$ be the normalization of X with conductor divisor $D \subseteq X$ and $\bar{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$ [Kol12, 5.2]. Then there is an inclusion

$$\operatorname{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathscr{E}) \cong \underbrace{H^0(X, \mathscr{T}_X \otimes \mathscr{E})}_{\mathscr{E} \text{ is locally free}} \hookrightarrow \underbrace{H^0(X, \pi_* \mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D}) \otimes \mathscr{E})}_{\text{Lemma 5.8}} \cong$$

$$\underbrace{H^0(\bar{X}, \mathscr{T}_{\bar{X}}(-\log \bar{D}) \otimes \pi^* \mathscr{E})}_{\text{projection formula}} \cong \underbrace{H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{[n-1]}(\log \bar{D})[\otimes]\omega_{\bar{X}}(\bar{D})^* \otimes \pi^* \mathscr{E})}_{\text{wedge pairing isomorphism}}.$$

Hence it is enough to prove that the last group is zero. However, that follows from Theorem 5.6 by setting $\mathscr{L} := \omega_{\bar{X}}(\bar{D})^*$, which is anti-ample by [Kol12, (5.7.1)]. ☐

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 6.1. *Given a tower of stable varieties as in* (2.7.a)*, and its corresponding index-one tower of stable stacks as in* (3.5.a)*,* $\operatorname{Hom}(\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}) = 0$.

Proof. Fix an i. By Corollary 4.4, $R^1(f_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i}$ is a weakly negative vector bundle. Then by Theorem 5.4, $\operatorname{Hom}_{X_{i-1}}(\Omega_{X_{i-1}}, R^1(f_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i}) = 0$. However,

$$R^1(f_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i} \cong \underbrace{R^1(f_i)_*(\pi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}}_{\substack{(\pi_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i}\text{, since}\\ \pi_i \text{ is a coarse moduli map}}} \cong \underbrace{(\pi_{i-1})_*R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}}_{\substack{(\pi_{i-1})_* \text{ is exact, since } \pi_{i-1}\\ \text{is a coarse moduli map}}}, \tag{6.1.a}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \underbrace{\operatorname{Hom}_{X_{i-1}}(\Omega_{X_{i-1}}, (\pi_{i-1})_*R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i})}_{\text{by (6.1.a)}} &\cong \underbrace{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}(L(\pi_{i-1})^*\Omega_{X_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i})}_{\text{by adjunction}} \\ &\cong \underbrace{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}((\pi_{i-1})^*\Omega_{X_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i})}_{\text{by cohomological degrees}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.b}$$

Consider now the triangle

$$(\pi_{i-1})^*\Omega_{X_{i-1}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}/X_{i-1}} \xrightarrow{+1} . \tag{6.1.c}$$

By (6.1.b) and (6.1.c), it is enough to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}}(\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}/X_{i-1}}, R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}) = 0$. Since $\mathscr{X}_{i-1} \to X_{i-1}$ is isomorphism in codimension one, $\Omega_{\mathscr{X}_{i-1}/X_{i-1}}$ is supported on a codimension two closed set. Hence it is enough to prove that $R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}$ is locally free. At this point, we are going to use the notations of Remark 3.14. By Remark 3.14, $R\rho_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong \mathscr{O}_{X_i'}$. Denote by f' the natural morphism $X_i' \to \mathscr{X}_{i-1}$. Then

$$R^1(\tilde{f}_i)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong R^1(f_i' \circ \rho)_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i} \cong h^1(R(f_i')_*R\rho_*\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}_i}) \cong h^1(R(f_i')_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i'}) \cong R^1(f_i')_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i'}$$

However f_i' is a family of stable schemes, so $R^1(f_i')_*\mathscr{O}_{X_i'}$ is locally free by Theorem [KK10, Theorem 7.8].

☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 3.21. ☐

REFERENCES

[AH11] D. ABRAMOVICH AND B. HASSETT: *Stable varieties with a twist*, Classification of algebraic varieties, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 1–38. 2779465 (2012c:14023)

[AV02] D. ABRAMOVICH AND A. VISTOLI: *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 1, 27–75 (electronic). 1862797 (2002i:14030)

[Ale96] V. ALEXEEV: *Moduli spaces $M_{g,n}(W)$ for surfaces*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 1–22. 1463171 (99b:14010)

[Ale02] V. ALEXEEV: *Complete moduli in the presence of semiabelian group action*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), no. 3, 611–708. 1923963 (2003g:14059)

[AP09] V. ALEXEEV AND R. PARDINI: *Explicit compactifications of moduli spaces of campedelli and burniat surfaces*, arXiv:0901.4431 (2009).

[Bha10] B. BHATT: *Derived direct summands*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University. 2753219

[BHPS12] B. BHATT, W. HO, ZS. PATAKFALVI, AND C. SCHNELL: *Moduli of products of stable varieties*, arXiv:math/1206.0438 (2012).

[BCHM10] C. BIRKAR, P. CASCINI, C. D. HACON, AND J. MCKERNAN: *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468. 2601039 (2011f:14023)

[EV92] H. ESNAULT AND E. VIEHWEG: *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR1193913 (94a:14017)

[Fuj09] O. FUJINO: *Introduction to the log minimal model program for log canonical pairs*, arXiv:math/0907.1506 (2009).

[Fuj12] O. FUJINO: *Semi-positivity theorems for moduli problems*, preprint (2012).

[FF12] O. FUJINO AND T. FUJISAWA: *Variations of mixed hodge structures and semi-positivity theorems*, arXiv:1203.6697 (2012).

[GKKP11] D. GREB, S. KEBEKUS, S. J. KOVÁCS, AND T. PETERNELL: *Differential forms on log canonical spaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2011), no. 114, 87–169.

[Gro65] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 24, 231. 0199181 (33 #7330)

[Gro66] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 28, 255. 0217086 (36 #178)

[Hac04] P. HACKING: *Compact moduli of plane curves*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 2, 213–257. MR2078368 (2005f:14056)

[HKT06] P. HACKING, S. KEEL, AND J. TEVELEV: *Compactification of the moduli space of hyperplane arrangements*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 4, 657–680. 2237265 (2007j:14016)

[HKT09] P. HACKING, S. KEEL, AND J. TEVELEV: *Stable pair, tropical, and log canonical compactifications of moduli spaces of del Pezzo surfaces*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 1, 173–227. 2534095 (2010i:14062)

[Har66] R. HARTSHORNE: *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR0222093 (36 #5145)

[Har77] R. HARTSHORNE: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116)

[Har80] R. HARTSHORNE: *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176. MR597077 (82b:14011)

[Har94] R. HARTSHORNE: *Generalized divisors on Gorenstein schemes*, Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992), vol. 8, 1994, pp. 287–339. MR1291023 (95k:14008)

[Har10] R. HARTSHORNE: *Deformation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 257, Springer, New York, 2010. 2583634 (2011c:14023)

[Has99] B. HASSETT: *Stable log surfaces and limits of quartic plane curves*, Manuscripta Math. **100** (1999), no. 4, 469–487. 1734796 (2000j:14045)

[HK04] B. HASSETT AND S. J. KOVÁCS: *Reflexive pull-backs and base extension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 2, 233–247. MR2047697 (2005b:14028)

[Kaw07] M. KAWAKITA: *Inversion of adjunction on log canonicity*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), no. 1, 129–133. MR2264806 (2008a:14025)

[Kaw09] Y. KAWAMATA: *Semipositivity theorem for reducible algebraic fiber spaces*, arXiv:0911.1670 (2009).

[KK10] S. KEBEKUS AND S. J. KOVÁCS: *The structure of surfaces and threefolds mapping to the moduli stack of canonically polarized varieties*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 1, 1–33. 2730371 (2011i:14060)

[KSB88] J. KOLLÁR AND N. I. SHEPHERD-BARRON: *Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 2, 299–338. MR922803 (88m:14022)

[Kol86] J. KOLLÁR: *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **124** (1986), no. 1, 171–202. MR847955 (87k:14014)

[Kol90] J. KOLLÁR: *Projectivity of complete moduli*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 235–268. 1064874 (92e:14008)

[Kol08] J. KOLLÁR: *Hulls and husks*, arXiv:math/0805.0576 (2008).

[Kol10] J. KOLLÁR: *Moduli of varieties of general type*, arXiv:1008.0621 (2010).

[Kol12] J. KOLLÁR: *Singularities of the minimal model program*, 2012.

[KK10] J. KOLLÁR AND S. J. KOVÁCS: *Log canonical singularities are Du Bois*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 791–813. 2629988

[KM98] J. KOLLÁR AND S. MORI: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. MR1658959 (2000b:14018)

[KS11] S. J. KOVÁCS AND K. SCHWEDE: *Du bois singularities deform*, arXiv:1107.2349 (2011).

[Laz12] R. LAZA: *The ksba compactification for the moduli space of degree two k3 pairs*, arXiv:1205.3144 (2012).

[Laz04] R. LAZARSFELD: *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR2095471 (2005k:14001a)

[Lee00] Y. LEE: *A compactification of a family of determinantal Godeaux surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 11, 5013–5023. 1624186 (2001b:14066)

[Liu12] W. LIU: *Stable degenerations of surfaces isogenous to a product ii*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 2411–2427.

[Nee96] A. NEEMAN: *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 1, 205–236. 1308405 (96c:18006)

[Ols06] M. C. OLSSON: $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$*-stacks and restriction of scalars*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), no. 1, 139–164. MR2239345 (2007f:14002)

[Pat10a] ZS. PATAKFALVI: *Arakelov-parshin rigidity of towers of curve fibrations, connections to the infinitesimal torelli problem*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.3069 (2010).

[Pat10b] ZS. PATAKFALVI: *Base change behavior of the relative canonical sheaf related to higher dimensional moduli*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.5207 (2010).

[Pat12] ZS. PATAKFALVI: *Viehweg's hyperbolicity conjecture is true over compact bases*, Advances in Mathematics **229** (2012), 1640–1642.

[PS12] ZS. PATAKFALVI AND K. SCHWEDE: *Depth of f-singularities and base change of relative canonical sheaves*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1910 (2012).

[Rol10] S. ROLLENSKE: *Compact moduli for certain Kodaira fibrations*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **9** (2010), no. 4, 851–874. 2789478

[Sch07] K. SCHWEDE: *A simple characterization of Du Bois singularities*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 4, 813–828. MR2339829 (2008k:14034)

[Sta] T. STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS: *Stacks Project*.

[Vak06] R. VAKIL: *Murphy's law in algebraic geometry: badly-behaved deformation spaces*, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), no. 3, 569–590. 2227692 (2007a:14008)

[vO06a] M. VAN OPSTALL: *Stable degenerations of surfaces isogenous to a product of curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 10, 2801–2806 (electronic). 2231601 (2007m:14048)

[vO06b] M. A. VAN OPSTALL: *Stable degenerations of symmetric squares of curves*, Manuscripta Math. **119** (2006), no. 1, 115–127. 2194382 (2006j:14045)

[Vie83] E. VIEHWEG: *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces*, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 329–353. 715656 (85b:14041)

[Vie95] E. VIEHWEG: *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR1368632 (97j:14001)

[VZ03] E. VIEHWEG AND K. ZUO: *On the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces for canonically polarized manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 103–150. 1978884 (2004h:14042)

ZSOLT PATAKFALVI, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ-08544-1000, USA
E-mail address: `pzs@math.princeton.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.princeton.edu/~pzs`